



PREPARED FOR CIC AUSTALIA 23/09/2015 15-000776 ORIGINAL WATER & ENVIRONMENT

Jumping Creek Deferred Area Urban Capability Study Report

COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

All intellectual property rights, including copyright, in designs developed and documents created by Calibre Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd remain the property of this company. Any use made of such design or document without the prior written approval of Calibre Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd will constitute an infringement of the rights of the company which reserves all legal rights and remedies in respect of any such infringement.

The information, including any intellectual property, contained in this proposal is confidential and proprietary to the Company. It may only be used by the person to whom it is provided for the stated purpose for which it is provided and must not be imparted to any third person without the prior written approval of the Company. The Company reserves all legal rights and remedies in relation to any infringement of its rights in respect of its confidential information. Calibre Consulting Level 6, 121 Marcus Clarke St CANBERRA ACT 2601

Ph: (02) 6211 7100 © 2015

DOCUMENT CONTROL

15-000776

Issue	Date	Issue Details	Author	Checked	Approved
1	25/08/2015	Draft	PJM/APL	APL	NPB
2	23/09/2015	Final	PJM/APL	APL	NPB

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1				
2	INTRO	DDUCTION	. 2		
3	PURP	OSE OF THIS REPORT	. 2		
4	SITE	CHARACTERISTICS	. 3		
	4.1 4.2	Location Existing Topography	. 3 . 4		
5	STOR	MWATER QUANTITY	. 4		
	5.1 5.2	Catchment Wide Approach Rational Method Calculation 5.2.1 Catchment 5.2.2 Coefficient of Runoff 5.2.3 Time of Concentration 5.2.4 Rainfall Data	. 5 . 5 5 6 6		
	5.3	XP-RAFTS Existing Scenario	. 6 . 6 . 7		
	5.4	XP-RAFTS Developed Scenario 5.4.1 Model Setup 5.4.2 Developed Deferred Area Unmitigated Results	. 8 . 8 . 8		
	5.5	Discussion	. 9		
6	STOR	MWATER QUALITY	. 9		
	6.1	 Water Quality Objectives. 6.1.1 Queanbeyan City Council Pollutant Reduction Targets 6.1.2 Neutral or Beneficial Effect Analysis 	. 9 . 9 10		
	6.2 6.3	Stormwater Quality Management Strategy MUSIC Modelling Methodology 6.3.1 Meteorological Data 6.3.2 Source Nodes 6.3.3 Drainage Links 6.3.4 Treatment Nodes	10 10 10 11 12 12		
	6.4 6.5	MUSIC Modelling Results	13 16		
7	DISCH	HARGING INTO JUMPING CREEK	16		
8	FLOO	D MAPPING (100 YEAR ARI)	16		
9	RECC	MMENDED RIPARIAN CORRIDOR WIDTHS	17		
10	SOIL	AND WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	17		
	10.1 10.2 10.3	Background Objective Objective Methodology 10.3.1 Clear Water Diversion 10.3.2 Dirty Water Diversion 10.3.3 Other Treatment Measures 10.3.4 Sediment Basins	17 17 17 17 17 17 17		
	10.4	Construction and Establishment of Bioretention Basins Used As Sediment Control Basins	19 19 19		
	10.5	Staged Construction and Establishment Method10.5.1Stage 1: Sediment and Erosion Control10.5.2Stage 2: Functional Installation10.5.3Stage 3: Operational Establishment	19 20 20 20		

11	CONNECTING ROAD AND SUBDIVISION EDGE ROADS	20
	 11.1 General 20 11.2 Road Design Criteria	
12	VEHICULAR ACCESS	22
13	CONCLUSION	22
14	REFERENCES	23

TABLES

Table 5-1:	Rational Method Peak Flows	6
Table 5-2:	XP-RAFTS Rainfall Data	7
Table 5-3:	Existing Peak Flow	7
Table 5-4:	XP-RAFTS Flow Verification	7
Table 5-5:	Jumping Creek Deferred Area Developed Unmitigated Peak Flow	8
Table 6-1:	Water Quality Objectives	
Table 6-2:	Source Node Configuration	11
Table 6-3:	Rainwater Tank Parameters	12
Table 6-4:	Bioretention Node Parameters	12
Table 6-5:	MUSIC QCC Load Reduction Results	13
Table 6-6:	MUSIC Model Annual Pollutant Loading (kg/yr) Results	
Table 10-1:	Summary of Sediment Basin Volumes	
Table 11-1:	Key criteria for road design	21

FIGURES

Figure 4-1:	Imping Creek Development – Location of the Deferred Area (Out of Date			
	Layout)	3		
Figure 4-2:	Deferred Area Topography and Characteristics	4		
Figure 6-1:	Pre- and post-development MUSIC Models	11		
Figure 6-2:	Pre- and post-development cumulative frequency graphs for total			
	phosphorus concentrations.	14		
Figure 6-3:	Pre- and post-development cumulative frequency graphs for total nitrogen concentrations.	15		
Figure 6-4:	Pre- and post-development cumulative frequency graphs for total suspended solid concentrations	15		
Figure 10-1:	Staged Construction and Establishment Method	19		

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	CORRESPONDANCE WITH MR MILES BOAK AND DR SANDRA JONES
APPENDIX B	INTENSITY – FREQUENCY – RAINFALL (IFD) DATA
APPENDIX C	RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATION
APPENDIX D	DRAWINGS
APPENDIX E	XP-RAFTS MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS – EXISTING
APPENDIX F	XP-RAFTS MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS – DEVELOPED
APPENDIX G	LANDDATA RIVER BANK REPORT
APPENDIX H	SEDIMENT BASIN VOLUME CALCULATIONS



1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Calibre Consulting has been engaged by CIC Australia to prepare an Urban Capability Study for the area within Jumping Creek Residential Estate which was deferred from rezoning in the Queanbeyan Local Environment Plan 2012. This portion of the site is shown on the zoning map as "Deferred Matter" (Deferred Area), see Figure 4-1.

Queanbeyan City Council (QCC), NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DPE), and the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) have requested advice confirming the suitability of the Deferred Area for residential development based on a detailed assessment of slope, soil stability, stormwater quantity, water quality, sediment control and biodiversity considerations.

This report addresses site slope (vehicular access), stormwater quantity, water quality, and sediment control for the Deferred Area to inform a Planning Proposal which will seek to rezone the Deferred Area.

The Water Quality Strategy in this report has been undertaken in accordance with a water quality methodology that was agreed with Mr Miles Boak (OEH) subsequent to receiving Dr Sandra Jones's letter. The correspondence with Mr Miles Boak and Dr Sandra Jones are within Appendix A.

It was agreed with Mr Miles Boak that the water quality strategy for the area currently zoned as a Deferred Area will be developed using MUSIC modelling, and will demonstrate the performance of the proposed treatment in terms of reduction in average annual pollutant exports. The pollutants are gross pollutants, suspended solids, phosphorus and nitrogen.

This detailed assessment has found that a residential development within the currently zoned Deferred Area will have no adverse effects on the environment, with the management measures proposed in this report. These management measures include the control of sediment runoff during both civil and housing construction phases. The use of rainwater tanks, gross pollutant traps and bioretention basins for the treatment of stormwater runoff.

This assessment has also found that using the catchment wide approach for stormwater quantity control, a development within this area will have no impact on the 1 and 100 ARI storm event peak flows at the confluence of Jumping Creek and Queanbeyan River.

Access roads and services within the Deferred Area are able to meet the required standards as set by QCC.

It has been determined that a residential development within the Deferred Area is feasible, and can comply with the requirements of QCC, DPE and OEH with respect to slope, stormwater quantity, water quality and sediment control.



2 INTRODUCTION

Calibre Consulting has been engaged by CIC Australia to prepare an Urban Capability Study for the area within Jumping Creek Residential Estate which was deferred from rezoning in the Queanbeyan Local Environment Plan 2012. This portion of the site is shown on the zoning map as "Deferred Matter" (Deferred Area), see Figure 4-1.

CIC Australia and Calibre Consulting engaged actively with Queanbeyan City Council (QCC), NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) and NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) prior to undertaking this capability study to ensure all outstanding issues with regards to the Deferred Area are adequately addressed, and to ensure a smooth rezoning of the Deferred Area.

During our extensive consultation process, QCC, DPE and OEH have requested additional advice confirming the suitability of the Deferred Area for residential development based on a detailed assessment of slope, soil stability, stormwater quantity, water quality, sediment control and biodiversity considerations.

This report addresses site slope (vehicular access to the Deferred Area), stormwater quantity, water quality, and sediment control on the Deferred Area to inform a Planning Proposal which will seek to rezone the Deferred Area. We note that soil stability will be addressed by Douglas Partners in a separate report.

The Water Quality Strategy in this report has been undertaken in accordance with a water quality methodology that was agreed with Mr Miles Boak (OEH) subsequent to receiving Dr Sandra Jones's letter. The correspondence with Mr Miles Boak and Dr Sandra Jones are within Appendix A.

It was agreed with Mr Miles Boak that the water quality strategy for the area currently zoned as Deferred Area will be developed using MUSIC modelling, and will demonstrate the performance of the proposed treatment in terms of reduction in average annual pollutant exports. The pollutants are gross pollutants, suspended solids, phosphorus and nitrogen.

For clarity, the Deferred Area will also be referred to as "the site" in this report.

3 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is to:

- Undertake preliminary Water Quantity and Quality Management Strategies, including positioning and concept grading of principal stormwater quality improvement devices,
- Map the 100yr ARI flood extent for Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek along the Deferred Area,
- Define the Riparian Corridor for Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek along the Deferred Area,
- Develop a concept Erosion and Sediment Control Strategy for the period of construction,
- Refine the location and grading of the connecting road and subdivision edge roads to minimise the construction footprint and to provide a services corridor, and
- Refine the vehicular access to building envelopes for the large lots.



4 SITE CHARACTERISTICS

4.1 LOCATION

Jumping Creek Residential Estate is approximately 96.43ha in size, and is located approximately 3km south of the Queanbeyan City Centre. The Residential Estate is bounded by Queanbeyan River to the west and is crossed by Jumping Creek. The Deferred Area is located to the south of Jumping Creek, and to the east of Queanbeyan River. The total area of the Deferred Area is approximately 10.58ha.

Figure 4-1 shows the location of the site and Deferred Area.



Figure 4-1: Jumping Creek Development – Location of the Deferred Area (Out of Date Layout)



4.2 EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY

The topography of the Deferred Area is relatively steep with slopes from zero to greater than 20%. Two ridges run north to south through the site, with an elevated relatively flat plain located south of Jumping Creek and east of Queanbeyan River. Jumping Creek and an unnamed tributary runs through the site.

Refer to Figure 4-2 for site topography and characteristics.



Figure 4-2: Deferred Area Topography and Characteristics.

5 STORMWATER QUANTITY

The stormwater quantity analysis presented in this report has been undertaken for the following:



- Determine existing peak flow rates at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek for the 1 and 100 year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) storm events;
- Determine the developed (unmitigated) peak flow rates at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek for the 1 and 100 year ARI storm events;
- Determine peak flow mitigation strategies to ensure that the development of the Deferred Area has no adverse impact on peak flows at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek; and
- Modelling of the peak flow mitigation strategies to determine the developed mitigated peak flow rates at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek for the 1 and 100 year ARI storm events.

We note that low flow channel erosion in gullies and creeks are predominantly due to smaller more frequent flows, such as the 1 year ARI. Therefore, mitigating the 1 year ARI peak flows will ameliorate velocity changes in the low flow channels.

5.1 CATCHMENT WIDE APPROACH

Peak flow mitigation presented in this report has been undertaken for a catchment wide approach. The alternative to a catchment wide approach is a site based approached with stormwater quantity control basins downstream of developments within the catchment. Site based detention basins for a large range of ARI's may increase the catchment wide peak flows due to delaying site based peak flows to align with catchment wide peak flows.

The design objective was to ensure that the Post-Development peak discharge rates for the 1 year and the 100 year ARIs are not increased at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek for the whole catchment.

A catchment based Rational Method calculation was undertaken for the existing scenario (i.e. pre-development catchment conditions) to verify the XP-RAFTS modelling parameters adopted.

The following two scenarios were modelled using XP-RAFTS:

- <u>Existing Scenario</u> This scenario modelled peak flow at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek for pre-development catchment conditions. Refer to section 5.3 for details. Existing XP-RAFTS peak flows have been verified against Rational Method calculations;
- <u>Deferred Area Developed Scenario</u> This scenario modelled peak flow at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek with the Deferred Area developed. One offline detention basin has been incorporated for peak flow mitigation. Refer to section 5.4 for details.

5.2 RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATION

The Rational Method calculation was undertaken for the existing undeveloped catchment in order to verify the XP-RAFTS model.

5.2.1 CATCHMENT

The Rational Method calculation was undertaken for the total catchment of 3,676ha. Refer to Drawing No C15776-001+ and C15776-002+ for the existing scenario catchment plan.

5.2.2 COEFFICIENT OF RUNOFF

Coefficient of Runoff (C₁₀) value of 0.4 was adopted in accordance with the Australian Rainfall & Runoff (AR&R) Volume 1 & Volume 2 (1987):

This value has then been adjusted for the 1 year, and 100 year ARI events using *Equation 5.5* in AR&*R Volume 1* (1987, p. 99). These values are as follows:



- $C_1 = 0.276$
- $C_{100} = 0.536$

5.2.3 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

The Time of Concentration for this catchment was determined in accordance with the empirical formula for calculating the time of concentration in natural channels developed by the US Soil Conservation Services. This method was adopted due to the large catchment with dominant channel flow. A time of concentration value of 126 minutes was calculated.

5.2.4 RAINFALL DATA

The Intensity-Frequency-Duration (IFD) rainfall data was sourced from *Bureau of Metrology* for the Jumping Creek region. Refer to Appendix A for IFD details.

5.2.5 RESULTS

The peak flows calculated by the Rational Method for the 1 and the 100 year ARI events are outlined in Table 5-1. Refer to Appendix B for rational method calculation.

Table 5-1: Rational Method Peak Flows

ARI	Peak Flow (m³/s)	
1	29.4	
100	164.7	

5.3 XP-RAFTS EXISTING SCENARIO

An XP-RAFTS model has been built for the Jumping Creek catchment. The modelling parameter adopted are discussed within subsequent sections.

5.3.1 MODEL PARAMETERES

5.3.1.1 CATCHMENT DATA

The catchment delineation for the Existing Scenario follows the existing contours. A small section of contours is missing from the north eastern part of the catchment. Using aerial photography provided by *Six Maps*, the areas were adjusted around the creek lines shown. Refer to Drawing C15776-001+ and C15776-002+ within Appendix C for the Existing Scenario Catchment Plan. All catchments for the Existing Scenario have been modelled with 5% fraction impervious. The catchment input data is presented in Appendix D.

5.3.1.2 LOSSES

For the hydrological modelling of the Existing Scenario using XP-RAFTS, the ARBM loss method was used incorporating the infiltration parameters outlined in *Section 1.07* of the *Queanbeyan City Council – Handbook of Drainage Design Criteria*. These guidelines are the most locally relevant guidelines for the study area and the guidelines are based on years of continuous review. The ARBM loss method is able to model a range of ARI events with a single set of model parameters.

5.3.1.3 MANNINGS 'N' VALUES

The Manning's n value of 0.04 was used for pervious areas and 0.015 was used for impervious areas. These are in accordance with Section 1.07 of the Queanbeyan City Council – Handbook of Drainage Design Criteria.



5.3.1.4 Bx

After various iterations and verification with the peak flows calculated by the Rational Method Calculation, a B_x Factor of 1.5 was used consistently for all XP-RAFTS modelling presented in this report.

5.3.1.5 ROUTING

Routing through sub-catchments was undertaken by applying lag time to the links. This lag time has been calculated as channel flow between nodes at a rate of 2m/s.

5.3.1.6 RAINFALL DATA

Table 5-2 presents the IDF data used for XP-RAFTS modelling. These values are consistent with the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) values for the Jumping Creek Region (-35.378 S, 149.252 E). Refer to Appendix A for IFD details.

Table 5-2:	XP-RAFTS	Rainfall	Data

⁵⁰ l ₁ (mm/hr)	²l ₁ (mm/hr)	⁵⁰ l ₁₂ (mm/hr)	²l ₁₂ (mm/hr)	⁵⁰ l ₇₂ (mm/hr)	² l ₇₂ (mm/hr)	Location Skew	F2	F ₅₀	AR&R zone
41.78	22.16	7.78	4.13	2.1	1.17	0.22	4.28	15.58	2

5.3.2 EXISTING PEAK FLOW RESULTS

Table 5-3 outlines peak 1 and 100 year ARI event flows at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek. Refer to Drawing No. C15776-002+ in Appendix C for location.

Table 5-3: Existing Peak Flow

Location	1 year ARI XP-RAFTS Peak Flow (m³/s)	100 year ARI XP-RAFTS Peak Flow (m³/s)		
Confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek	31.62	157.01		

5.3.3 PEAK FLOW VERIFICATION

Analysis was undertaken for the 1 and 100 year ARI storm events, with durations ranging from 15 minutes to 72 hours. Table 5-4 compares the XP-RAFTS peak flow to those calculated using the Rational Method for the whole catchment.

Table 5-4: XP-RAFTS Flow Verification

ARI Rational Method Peak Flow (m ³ /s		XP-RAFTS Peak Flow (m ³ /s)		
1	29.37	31.62		
100	164.69	157.01		

As can be seen from the table above, XP-RAFTS produces flows similar to those calculated using the Rational Method calculation. This confirms the validity and the verification of the XP-RAFTS model.



5.4 XP-RAFTS DEVELOPED SCENARIO

An XP-RAFTS model was set up to represent the post-development Deferred Area discharging to Jumping Creek. The remaining Jumping Creek residential estate has been modelled as undeveloped.

5.4.1 MODEL SETUP

The XP-RAFTS model set up for the Deferred Area Developed Scenario was similar to the Existing Scenario, except where changes were made to the Deferred Area catchments discharging to Jumping Creek.

The following sub-subsections highlight the XP-RAFTS model parameters adopted for the Deferred Area Developed Scenario.

5.4.1.1 CATCHMENT DATA

To account for the Deferred Area development areas, the catchment data was updated as per Drawing No C15776-003+ and C15776-004+ within Appendix C. Refer to Appendix E for catchment input data. There is slight increase in the overall catchment size under the developed scenario due to the lot layout and final earthworks for the Deferred Area Development.

5.4.1.2 MANNING'S N

The Manning's n value of 0.04 was used for pervious areas and 0.015 was used for impervious areas. These are in accordance with Section 1.07 of the Queanbeyan City Council – Handbook of Drainage Design Criteria.

5.4.1.3 BX FACTOR

The Bx Factor of 1.5 was used consistently for all XP-RAFTS modelling

5.4.1.4 RAINFALL DATA

The IFD rainfall data was as per the Existing Scenario in Table 5-2.

5.4.2 DEVELOPED DEFERRED AREA UNMITIGATED RESULTS

Table 5-5 outlines the 1 and 100 year ARI peak flows at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek when the Deferred Area is developed.

 Table 5-5:
 Jumping Creek Deferred Area Developed Unmitigated Peak Flow

	1 year ARI	XP-RAFTS Peak Flow	100 year ARI XP-RAFTS Peak Flow		
Location	Existing (m ³ /s)	Developed Scenario (m ³ /s)	Existing (m ³ /s)	Developed Scenario (m ³ /s)	
Confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek	31.62	31.60	157.01	157.00	

Table 5-5 shows that the difference between the Existing Scenario and Developed Scenario for the Deferred Area is insignificant. As a result, no peak flow attenuation is required for the development of the Deferred Area. This is not uncommon in large catchments with development in the downstream end of the catchment.



5.5 DISCUSSION

It can be seen that development of the Deferred Area will have no impact on the 1 and 100 year ARI peak flows at the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek. Therefore, no peak flow mitigation is required as part of developing the Deferred Area.

6 STORMWATER QUALITY

The Stormwater Quality analysis presented in this report is for development areas discharging to Jumping Creek. It is expected that if left unmitigated, the development will increase the stormwater pollutants that are exported to Jumping Creek and Queanbeyan River. A treatment train comprised of suitable Stormwater Quality Improvement Devices (SQIDs) is proposed to intercept and capture pollutants so that the potential impacts to receiving waters will be adequately mitigated and the development will achieve the required Water Quality Objectives (WQOs).

This section discusses:

- The WQOs identified for the catchment;
- Proposed measures to mitigate the increase in pollutant export; and
- Modelling of the proposed measures to confirm their effectiveness in meeting the WQOs.

6.1 WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

6.1.1 QUEANBEYAN CITY COUNCIL POLLUTANT REDUCTION TARGETS

Queanbeyan City Council's (QCC) *Development Design Specification – D7* titled *"Erosion Control and Stormwater Management"* (Version 1 June 2013) outlines the long-term water quality sustainability requirements for developments within Queanbeyan City Council.

The objective of long-term water quality sustainability is to protect the sensitive downstream aquatic ecosystems.

The biodiversity values of Jumping Creek and Queanbeyan River are also considered high, with a significant Platypus population in the area.

QCC's *Development Design Specification – D7* outlines the minimum level of pollutant load reductions for a development. These pollutant load reductions are defined in Table 6-1 below.

Table 6-1: Water Quality Objectives

Pollutant	Load Target Reduction
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	80%
Total Phosphorus (TP)	65%
Total Nitrogen (TN)	65%

These pollutant reduction targets presented in Table 6-1 are higher than many standards and guidelines, particularly for TN. A 65% reduction in TN is significantly higher than the performance targets required under other guidelines. For example:

• NSW Council adopted Landcom draft *Water Sensitive Urban Design, Book 1, Policy* (2004) states 80% TSS, 60%TN and 45% TN reduction;



- Engineer's Australia Australian Rainfall Quality (2006) states a 80% TSS, 45% TP and 45% TN reduction;
- The ACT Planning & Land Authority's *Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code* (ACT, 2008) states a catchment wide 85% TSS, 70% TP and 60% TN reduction; and
- The ACT Planning & Land Authority's *Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code* (ACT, 2008) states a Subdivisional 60% TSS, 45% TSS and 40% TN reduction.

Therefore, achieving the pollutant reductions in accordance with Queanbeyan City Council's *Development Design Specification – D7* will provide an environmental outcome better than the developments in surrounding regions, particularly for TN.

6.1.2 NEUTRAL OR BENEFICIAL EFFECT ANALYSIS

In addition to achieving QCC water quality objectives, the existing scenario will also be modelled to ensure that the development pollutant loads are less than existing providing a Neutral or Beneficial Effect (NorBE) on water quality. In this case, the pollutant concentrations for TSS, TP and TN for the post-development case (including mitigation measures) must be equal to, or better compared to the pre-development case for between the 50th and 98th percentiles over the 10 year modelling period (1968 to 1977) when runoff occurs.

6.2 STORMWATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

A treatment train of suitable SQIDs is proposed to mitigate the potential impact of developing the Deferred Area on the quality of stormwater runoff. A stormwater quality management strategy incorporating rainwater tanks and bioretention systems are proposed. Refer to Drawing No. C15776-005+ in Appendix C for the stormwater quality plan.

Given the site terrain it was determined that the ideal treatment train would consist of the following:

- Rainwater tanks to all the residential areas within all the catchments.
- End of line bioretention basins
- CDS Units.

The bioretention systems utilise a sandy loam soil based media to filter runoff. Sediment particle and suspended solids are trapped within vegetation and on the surface of the filter media while micro-organisms and vegetation remove dissolved nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) through biological uptake processes. Subsoil drainage provided below the filter media allows for the treated runoff to discharge from the bioretention systems.

6.3 MUSIC MODELLING METHODOLOGY

Water quality modelling of the Deferred Area development only has been undertaken using the Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualisation (MUSIC) Version 6.0.4, developed by the Cooperative Research Centre for Catchment Hydrology (CRCCH). MUSIC enables the user to conceptualise the transfer of pollutants through a stormwater drainage system and provides an aid in quantifying the effectiveness of the proposed stormwater quality treatment train. MUSIC only provides quantitative modelling for Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Phosphorous (TP), Total Nitrogen (TN) and Gross Pollutants (GP).

The MUSIC model was setup in accordance with *Section B.2* from the ACT Planning & Land Authority's *Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code* (ACT, 2008). Reference was made to Water by Design *MUSIC Modelling Guidelines* (2010) for any additional MUSIC setup guidelines. The subsequent sections discuss the model configurations adopted for the analysis.

6.3.1 METEOROLOGICAL DATA



Six minute pluviographic data was sourced from the eWater website for Canberra Airport Rainfall Station (Station No. 70014) as this was the nearest rainfall station to the site with a range of rainfall data. In accordance with *Section B.2* from the *Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code,* the 10 year period from 1st January 1968 to 31st December 1977 was adopted for the rainfall duration. The six minute time step mean annual rainfall for this period is 655mm.

6.3.2 SOURCE NODES

The source nodes and their associated attributes are summarised in Table 6-2.

Table 6-2: Source Node Configuration

Source Node	Node Type	Adopted Fraction Impervious
Urban Residential Roof	Urban	100%
Urban Residential, including roads	Urban	70%
Connecting Road	Urban	75%

Base flow and Storm flow pollutant concentrations and soil properties were adopted from *Section B.2* from the ACT *Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code,* being regionally relevant to Jumping Creek. Mean generation estimation has been adopted.

Refer to Figure 6-1 below for the MUSIC model layout for the Deferred Area.



Figure 6-1: Pre- and post-development MUSIC Models



6.3.3 DRAINAGE LINKS

No routing was adopted for drainage links in accordance with *Section 4.17* of the *MUSIC Modelling Guidelines* (2010). This assumes flows and associated pollutants from all parts of the catchment arrive at the treatment nodes at the same time. This is conservative as it means that MUSIC may overestimate the overflow volumes.

6.3.4 TREATMENT NODES

6.3.4.1 RAINWATER TANKS

Rainwater tanks were adopted for all residential areas at a minimum of 2,000L per dwelling, for an average 3 bedroom house. Reuse has been taken as 0.15kL/day/dwelling.

Table 6-3: Rainwater Tank Parameters

Parameters per Tank	Value
Rainwater Tank Volume below overflow pipe per tank (kL)	2
Depth above Overflow per tank (m)	0.2
Adopted Surface Area per tank (m ²) ^A	2.5
Initial volume per tank (kL)	2
Overflow Pipe Diameter per tank (mm)	90
Daily Re-use per tank (L/day) ^B	150

^ASurface area based on tank diameter of 1.8m

^BRe-use rate based on a worst case scenario of external residential use e.g. gardens only. Taken from NSW Using MUSIC in Sydney Drinking Water Catchment

6.3.4.2 BIORETENTION

Bioretention systems are proposed to treat the entire Deferred Area development and the associated connecting road. End of line bioretention basin locations are shown on Drawing No. C15776-005+ in Appendix C.

Bioretention systems were modelled using MUSIC's Bioretention Treatment Node with the configurations outlined in Table 6-4. The Total Nitrogen content in filter media was adopted from Healthy Waterways *Interim MUSIC Bioretention Treatment Node* (2012) document. The Orthophosphate Content of the filter media modelled is slightly lower than the 50mg/kg stated in the Healthy Waterways *Interim MUSIC Bioretention Treatment Node* (2012) document, however laboratory testing from previous filter material supplied to various locations in Australia indicate that the Orthophosphate Contents filter media is generally in the order of 2 - 10mg/kg. Although less than the Healthy Waterways nominated 50mg/kg, modelling has adopted a conservative value of 30mg/kg, higher than the supplied material for the area.

Pre-treatment such as a Gross Pollutant Trap (GPT) has been modelled and will be required where the stormwater networks discharge into the proposed bioretention basins.

Table 6-4:	Bioretention	Node	Parameters
------------	--------------	------	------------

Parameters	Bioretention Systems
Extended Detention Depth (mm)	500
Filter Depth (mm)	400
Drainage Layer Depth (mm)	150
Filter Type	Sandy Loam
Filter Area (m ²)	1% of contributing catchment area



Parameters	Bioretention Systems
Surface Area (m ²)	2.5% of contributing catchment area
Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (mm/hr)	180
Filter Median Particle Diameter (mm)	0.45
Orthophosphate Content of Filter Media (mg/kg)	30
Overflow Weir (m)	10% filter area

6.4 MUSIC MODELLING RESULTS

Results of the proposed stormwater quality management strategy are presented in Table 6.5, Table 6.6, Figure 6.2 and Figure 6.3 below. The MUSIC model for the proposed development on the Deferred Area is shown in Figure 6.1.

The treatment train includes:

- End of line bioretention basins,
- Gross Pollutant Traps, and
- Rainwater tanks for residential lots

The results in Table 6.5 represent the pollutant reductions for the proposed development on the Deferred Area, discharging to the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek.

Table 6-5: MUSIC QCC Load Reduction Results

Description	Target Pollutant			
	TSS	ТР	TN	GP
QCC WQO	80%	65%	65%	100%
Load Reduction Achieved	90%	82%	72%	100%
Load Reduction Achieved > WQO?	YES	YES	YES	YES

The above results indicate that the Queanbeyan City Council's Water Quality Objectives can be achieved for the proposed development on the Deferred Area. This includes chainage 0 to 100m along the Connecting Road draining directly to Jumping Creek.

The results in Table 6.6 represent the annual pollutant loads for the proposed development on the Deferred Area, discharging to the confluence of Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek.

Table 6-6: MUSIC Model Annual Pollutant Loading (kg/yr) Results

	Annual Pollutant Loading (kg/yr)		
Description	TSS	ТР	TN
Pre-development (1)	1260	1.43	24.7
Post-development with measures (2)	584	0.94	20.2
Difference $(3) = (1) - (2)$	676	0.49	4.5
% Improvement = (3) / (1) * 100	53.6	34.3	18.2
Neutral or Beneficial Effect? (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y



The above results show that the modelled post-development total suspended solids, total phosphorus and total nitrogen loads with the proposed stormwater management measures in place provides between 18 to 54% improvement compared to the pre-development conditions.

The comparative cumulative frequency curves for pollutant concentrations for phosphorus, nitrogen and total suspended solids are provided in Figure 6-2, Figure 6-3 and Figure 6-4 below.

To meet Neutral or Beneficial Effect (NorBE) the concentration of pollutants for the post-development scenario should always be equal to or less than the concentration for the pre-development scenario. This is impractical for a risk based approach and the natural variability of rain events. As a result, the "Using MUSIC in Sydney's Drinking Water Catchment - A Sydney Catchment Authority Standard" specifies that NorBE will be deemed to be met if the post-development scenario pollutant concentrations are equal to or less than the pre-development scenario concentrations between the 50th and 98th frequency percentiles when runoff occurs.



Figure 6-2: Pre- and post-development cumulative frequency graphs for total phosphorus concentrations.





Figure 6-3: Pre- and post-development cumulative frequency graphs for total nitrogen concentrations.





The above results show that the total suspended solids, total phosphorus and total nitrogen runoff concentrations for the post-development scenario are lower than the predevelopment conditions between the 50th and 98th percentiles.



6.5 **DISCUSSION**

The MUSIC model results have conceptually shown that the Queanbeyan City Council's Water Quality Objectives can be achieved for the proposed development on the Deferred Area. This includes chainage 0 to 100m along the Connecting Road draining directly to Jumping Creek.

The results have also shown that NorBE would be achieved for the proposed development on the Deferred Area with the proposed management measures.

Given that our surface runoff water quality modelling shows that the quality of the stormwater runoff from the Deferred Area would improve post development, it is considered that the ambient water quality within the Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek will not deteriorate due the proposed development on the Deferred Area with the proposed stormwater quality improvement management measures.

The sustainable achievement of NorBE will require stormwater management measures consisting of:

- 2000 L rainwater tanks (min) and plumbing of roofs to capture 50% of runoff into the tanks on each lot. Assumes a roof area of 350m². Roof area adopted from Googong with similar lot sizes.
- Rainwater re-use for external use only. Adding toilets and laundry will improve the MUSIC model results,
- Gross Pollutant Traps, and
- End of line bio-retention basins.

7 DISCHARGING INTO JUMPING CREEK

Integration of the proposed water quality treatment devices with Jumping Creek is important. The design and construction of stormwater outlets should aim to be natural, yet provide a stable transition from a constructed drainage system to the natural environment. It is recommended that the following be integrated in the final design with respect to outlet structures and scour protection:

- Employ ecological engineering solutions for scour and erosion control, to meander the discharging water to the Creek.
- Only use engineered solutions (e.g., stone pitching, concrete lined batters, and concrete structures) where necessary.

Appropriate rehabilitation of disturbed areas following the installation of outlet structures should adequately restore the integrity of the riparian corridor.

8 FLOOD MAPPING (100 YEAR ARI)

Mapping of the 100 year flood extents for Jumping Creek and Queanbeyan River at the site is based on the 2008 Queanbeyan Flood and Floodplain Risk Management Study and Plan (Lyell and Associates). The Queanbeyan Flood and Floodplain Risk Management Study and Plan identifies the 100 year ARI flood level at the confluence of Jumping Creek and Queanbeyan River to be 579.5m AHD.

The Deferred Area surface levels varies between 580 m AHD and 605m AHD, which is well above the 100 year flood level. Refer to C15776-005+ for 100yr ARI flood extents along the Deferred Area.



9 RECOMMENDED RIPARIAN CORRIDOR WIDTHS

LandData Surveys has undertaken a survey along Queanbeyan River corridor to define the highest bank on the eastern side of Queanbeyan River along the Deferred Area. LandData Surveys report is presented within Appendix G.

Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek are defined as 4th order or greater watercourses in accordance with the Strahler System. The unnamed tributary through the site is a 2nd order stream. The *NSW Office of Water Guidelines for Riparian Corridors on Waterfront Land specifies* that for a 4th order watercourse, the width of the vegetated riparian zone (VRZ) is 40 metres measured from the top of the highest bank on both sides of the watercourse. For a 2nd order watercourse, the width of the vegetated riparian zone (VRZ) is 20 metres measured from the top of the highest bank on both sides of the top of the highest bank on both sides of the watercourse. The riparian corridors for Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek are shown in C15776-005+ in Appendix D.

10 SOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

10.1 BACKGROUND

The Jumping Creek Deferred area is located along both Jumping Creek and Queanbeyan River, which is a highly sensitive ecosystem. During the land disturbance phase of a development, a large amount of soil can be eroded and deposited onto nearby lands or downstream waterways. To mitigate the impacts of land disturbances from developments, the NSW Government has developed the *Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Guidelines*, also known as the *NSW Blue Book*. This guideline has been applied for the Soil and Water Management Strategy.

10.20BJECTIVE

The objective is to provide a high level Soil and Water Management Strategy for the Jumping Creek Deferred Area. Due to the highly sensitive nature of the downstream environment the aim of this strategy is to not only meet *NSW Blue Book* requirements but to exceed it where site constraints allow. Also, the principle of minimum disturbance is to be observed.

10.3 METHODOLOGY

10.3.1 CLEAR WATER DIVERSION

Clear water diversion bunds are to be located upstream of the earthworks areas to divert clean water around any construction works.

10.3.2 DIRTY WATER DIVERSION

Dirty water diversion bunds are to be located on the low side the earthworks areas, to carry dirty water to the proposed sediment control basins. Where required, the use of rock check dams may be used in the channels to minimise scouring.

10.3.3 OTHER TREATMENT MEASURES

Sediment fences, straw bale sediment traps and geotextile surface protection is to be constructed at strategic locations where required.

Any temporary culverts installed must have appropriate outlet protection installed after pipe laying without delay.

10.3.4 SEDIMENT BASINS



10.3.4.1 LOCATION OF SEDIMENT CONTROL BASINS

The Stormwater Quality Improvement Devices (SQIDs) outlined in this report will also be utilised as end of line sediment control basins during both civil construction and housing construction phases.

10.3.4.2 SIZING OF SEDIMENT CONTROL BASINS

The sediment basins for this study have been sized in accordance with the guidelines set out by the *NSW Blue Book*, *Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction* (2004). An assessment of the soil types provided in the Geotechnical Report (*Geotechnical Terrain Assessment for the Proposed South Queanbeyan Rural-Residential Development NSW*, February 1988) prepared by Coffey & Partners has been carried out. The soil types were compared against the soil type descriptions provided in the NSW Blue Book. Based on this assessment, a 'Type D' dispersible soil was adopted in the design. A 'Type D' soil also yields a worst case dispersive soil sediment basin size. The total volume of a 'Type D' sediment basin is the sum of the following two components:

- A settling zone, within which water is stored allowing the settlement of suspended sediment, and
- A sediment storage zone, where deposited sediment is stored until the basin is cleaned out.

The settling zone is determined from a predefined y-percentile, 5-day rainfall depth as set in the NSW Blue Book.

Due to the developments proximity to Queanbeyan River and Jumping Creek, and the sensitive nature of the downstream environment, the minimum rainfall event as stated in Section 6.3.4(f)(ii) of the NSW Blue Book is an 85^{th} percentile 5 day event (pg. 6-21). This gives a design rainfall depth for Queanbeyan of 25.8mm over a 5 day period.

The sediment storage zone is taken as the greater of:

- 0.5 x the settling zone capacity, or
- Two months soil loss as calculated with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE).

It was found that 0.5 x the settling zone capacity yields a larger storage volume for each basin and was therefore adopted for calculating the total sediment storage volume. Refer to Appendix F for sediment basin volume calculations.

10.3.4.3 REQUIRED SEDIMENT BASIN SIZES

Table 10-1 below shows the required sediment basin volumes for the developed catchments to Jumping Creek. It can be seen that the end of line Water Quality Improvement Devices proposed in Section 6 will have sufficient capacity to meet the required sediment basin volumes when excavated to the base of the filter component. Refer to Appendix D and Drawing C15776-006+ for the Soil and Water Concept Management Plan.

Table 10-11 Summary of Sediment Basin volumes	Table 10-1:	Summary	of Sediment	Basin Volumes
---	-------------	---------	-------------	----------------------

Catchment	Area (ha)	¹ Required Sediment Volume (m³)	² End of Line SQID Volume (m³)
D_C1 (Urban Area)	4.17	905	1,023
D_C8 (Road Catchment)	0.98	213	240

¹ This is the volume of the sediment basin required by the NSW Blue Book for 85th percentile 5-day event capture for Queanbeyan.

² This is the volume of the end of line Bio Retention Basin measured to the boxing level.

The above table shows that the minimum required 5-day 85th percentile volume can be provided. The final sediment basin volumes are subject to detailed design.



10.4 CONSTRUCTION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF BIORETENTION BASINS USED AS SEDIMENT CONTROL BASINS

The following information outlines a staged construction and establishment methodology for bioretention basins utilised as sediment control basins during civil construction. There are a number of challenges that must be appropriately considered to ensure successful construction and establishment of bioretention basins. These challenges are best described in the context of the typical phases in the development of a Greenfield development, namely the Civil Construction Phase and the Housing Construction Phase.

10.4.1 CIVIL CONSTRUCTION PHASE CHALLENGES

The Civil Construction Phase involves the civil works required to create the landforms associated with a development and install the related services (roads, water, sewerage, power, etc.) followed by the landscape works to create the softscape, streetscape and parkscape features.

The risks to the successful construction and establishment of bioretention basins during this phase of work have generally been related to the following:

- Construction activities which can generate large sediment loads in runoff which can smother vegetation and clog bioretention filter media or plants, and
- Construction traffic and other works can result in damage to the bioretention basins. It is important to note that all
 works undertaken during civil construction are 'controlled' through the principle contractor and superintendent. This
 means the risks described above can be readily managed through appropriate guidance and supervision.

10.4.2 HOUSING CONSTRUCTION PHASE CHALLENGES

Once the Civil Construction works are complete the Housing Construction Phase can commence. This phase of development is effectively 'uncontrolled' due to the number of building contractors and sub-contractors present on any given block on any given day. For this reason the Housing Construction Phase represents the greatest risk to the successful establishment of bioretention basins.

10.5 STAGED CONSTRUCTION AND ESTABLISHMENT METHOD

To overcome the challenges associated within delivering bioretention basins a Staged Construction and Establishment Method should be adopted, see Figure 10-1 below.



Figure 10-1: Staged Construction and Establishment Method



10.5.1 STAGE 1: SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

During the civil construction phase no elements of the future bioretention basins should be established. The bioretention basins should only be constructed to the base of the filtration component to achieve the highest possible sediment storage volumes, and must be constructed as per the *NSW Blue Book* Guidelines.

10.5.2 STAGE 2: FUNCTIONAL INSTALLATION

Construction of the functional elements of a bioretention basin can be installed along with temporary protective measures. This involves:

- Bulking out and trimming;
- Installation of the outlet structures;
- Placement of liner and installation of drainage layer (i.e. under-drains and drainage layer);
- Placement of filter media;
- Placement of a temporary protective layer by covering the surface of the filtration media with geofabric and placement of 25mm topsoil and turf over the geofabric. This temporary geofabric and turf layer will protect the bioretention basin during the housing construction phase ensuring sediment/litter laden water does not enter the filter media causing clogging;
- Place silt fences around the boundary of the bioretention basins to exclude silt and restrict access.

10.5.3 STAGE 3: OPERATIONAL ESTABLISHMENT

At the completion of the Housing Construction Phase (approximately 2 years post-civil construction), the temporary measures (i.e. geofabric and turf) are removed with all accumulated sediment, and the bioretention system can be reprofiled and planted in accordance with the proposed landscape design.

Establishment of the vegetation to design condition can require more than two growing seasons, depending on the vegetation types, during which regular watering and removal of weeds will be required for all bioretention basins.

11 CONNECTING ROAD AND SUBDIVISION EDGE ROADS

11.1 GENERAL

Portions of the Deferred Area along the connecting road alignment consist of steep terrain with site slopes up to 20%. The location and grading of the connecting road and subdivision edge roads have been refined from the previous Master Plan Layout to better allow for this steep terrain, to minimise the construction footprint and environmental impacts. See Appendix D for road design drawings.

Special consideration was also given to future maintenance of the road corridors. Sufficient verge widths have been provided to facilitate maintenance of the grassed verges along the gully edges.

The road corridors will also serve as a service corridor to service the proposed residential lots. The typical road cross section on Drawing C15776-008+ in Appendix D shows that the connecting road corridor has ample room to provide water, stormwater, sewerage, power and telecommunication services to the proposed development on the Deferred Area.



11.2 ROAD DESIGN CRITERIA

The design criteria adopted for the connecting road and subdivision edge roads are compiled from Queanbeyan City Council's Development Design Specification D1 – Geometric Road Design.

The connecting road and subdivision edge roads have been designed as a Local Street. Table 11-1: outlines the key criteria.



Criterion	Value
Design Speed	40km/hr
Minimum Radii of Horizontal Curves	40m
Minimum Radii of Vertical Curves	25m
Minimum K Value (Crest)	5.3
Maximum Longitudinal Grade	16%
Kerb Type	Kerb and Gutter
Carriageway Width	6m

11.3 TYPICAL CROSS SECTIONS

11.3.1 CONNECTING ROAD

The main features of the Connecting Road cross section are as follows (see Typical Cross Section, Drawing C15776-008 within Appendix D):

- 6m wide carriageway to allow for two 3m wide traffic lanes;
- 5m wide verge to accommodate street lighting and other services;
- 2m wide verge along the connecting road to reduce the extent of cut;
- The total width of the road reserve is 13m;
- Verges along the gullies will have uniform cross fall of 2% away from the kerb;
- Fill batter slopes shall be 1v:4h or flatter;
- Cut batter slopes shall be 1v:2h or flatter; and
- Normal pavement cross fall is 3%.

11.3.2 SUBDIVISION EDGE ROADS



The main features of the Subdivision Edge Road cross section are as follows (see Typical Cross Section, Drawing C15776-008+ within Appendix D):

- 6m wide carriageway to allow for two 3m wide traffic lanes;
- 5m wide verge to accommodate street lighting and other services;
- 2m wide verge along the subdivision edge roads to reduce fill into the riparian corridor;
- The total width of the road reserve is 13m;
- Verges along the gullies will have uniform cross fall of 2% away from the kerb;
- Fill batter slopes shall be 1v:4h or flatter;
- Cut batter slopes shall be 1v:2h or flatter; and
- Normal pavement one-way cross fall away from blocks is 3%.

11.4 DISCUSSION

The above sections 11.2 and 0 with drawings in Appendix D show that the connecting road and subdivision edge roads can be designed and constructed to comply with Queanbeyan City Council's Development Design Specification D1 - Geometric Road Design.

A 6m wide carriage for the connecting road and subdivision edge roads has been adopted from Googong for this study. The carriageway width can be adjusted at DA stage should Queanbeyan City Council request a differed width. We note that changing the carriageway width will not change the result of this study.

12 VEHICULAR ACCESS

Queanbeyan City Council aims to provide appropriate and safe access to all lots for pedestrian, vehicles and cyclists.

Queanbeyan City Council's Development Design Specification D13 – Vehicular Access Design specifies that the gradients of residential driveways within a lot shall not exceed 1v:6h for driveways which fall away from the property boundary.

The vehicular accesses off the Connecting Road to the building envelopes on the large lots have been graded at a maximum of 1v:6h. See drawing C15776-016 within Appendix D for the vehicular access locations and long sections.

13 CONCLUSION

Calibre Consulting has completed a detailed assessment of the urban capability of the portion of the Jumping Creek Residential Estate currently zoned as Deferred Area. The detailed assessment specifically looked at site slope (vehicular access to the Deferred Area), stormwater quality and quantity post development of the Deferred Area, and erosion and sediment control for the period of construction on the Deferred Area.

This detailed assessment has found that a residential development on the Deferred Area will have no adverse effects on the environment, with the management measures proposed in this report. These management measures include the control of sediment runoff during both civil and housing construction phases. The use of rainwater tanks, gross pollutant traps and bioretention basins for the treatment of stormwater runoff.



The water quality modelling results have also shown that a Beneficial Effect would be achieved for the proposed development on the Deferred Area. This assessment has also found that using the catchment wide approach for stormwater quantity control, a development within this area will have no impact on the 1 and 100 ARI storm event Peak flows.

Access roads and services within the Deferred Area are able to meet the required standards as set by QCC.

It has been determined that the a residential development within the Deferred Area is feasible, and can comply with the requirements of QCC, DPE and OEH with respect to slope, stormwater quantity, water quality and sediment control.

14 REFERENCES

- ACT Planning & Land Authority (2008), Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code.
- Coffey & Partners (1988), Geotechnical Terrain Assessment for the Proposed South Queanbeyan Rural-Residential Development N.S.W.
- Engineers Australia (2006), Australian Rainfall Quality: A Guide to Water Sensitive Urban Design.
- A Sydney Catchment Authority Standard (2012), Using MUSIC in Sydney's Drinking Water Catchment
- LandData Surveys (2015), Jumping Creek Development Definition of Highest Bank of the Queanbeyan River.
- Lyall & Associates (2008), Queanbeyan Flood and Floodplain Risk Management Study and Plan.
- NSW Department of Primary Industries (2012), Guidelines for Outlet Structures on Waterfront Land.
- NSW Department of Primary Industries (2012), Guidelines for Riparian Corridors on Waterfront Land.
- NSW Office of Water & Heritage (2004), Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Volume 1.
- Parsons Brinckerhoff Australia (2008), Jumping Creek Planning Submission to Queanbeyan City Council.
- Queanbeyan City Council (2011), Development Design Specification D5 Stormwater Drainage Design.
- Queanbeyan City Council (2011), Development Design Specification D7 Erosion Control and Stormwater Management.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A CORRESPONDANCE WITH MR MILES BOAK AND DR SANDRA JONES

ATTACHMENT 1



t. 02 6211 7100 | f. 02 6211 7199
 e. Mark.Kelly@brownconsulting.com.au
 Level 6,121 Marcus Clarke Street, Canberra City, ACT, 2601
 GPO Box 261, Canberra, ACT, 2601
 www.brownconsulting.com.au

The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee or someone authorised to receive the addressee's e-mail. If you have received the e-mail in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail, delete the e-mail from your computer system and destroy any printed copy. There is no warranty that this email is error or virus free. It may be a private communication, and if so, does not represent the view of Brown Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd.

Brown Consulting reaches milestone with over 400 people across 9 offices

From: Miles Boak [mailto:Miles.Boak@environment.nsw.gov.au] Sent: Thursday, 30 August 2012 3:40 PM To: Mark Kelly Subject: RE: Jumping Creek OEH Study Specifications

Mark

Comments I have received generally Ok with what you proposed on the need for load based objectives and need for calibration.

While it is agreed that for appropriate trigger values for water quality, the Queanbeyan River could be appropriately called significantly modified due to the dam. In terms of Anzecc guideline triggers then could also assume a 'slightly disturbed environment'.

As far as biodiversity values are concerned it is high with a large resident platypus population in the stretch of the river opposite the Jumping Creek. Lots of information on the value of the river is contained in Queanbeyan River Riparian Corridor Strategy <u>http://www.qcc.nsw.gov.au/Growing-Our-City/Sustainability/Catchment-Management/Catchment-Management</u>

Cheers Miles

Miles Boak Conservation Planning Officer, Office of Environment and Heritage PO Box 733 (11 Farrer Place) Queanbeyan NSW 2620 Tel (02) 6229 7095 Mob 0427 919192

From: Mark Kelly [mailto:Mark.Kelly@brownconsulting.com.au]
Sent: Thursday, 30 August 2012 2:29 PM
To: Boak Miles
Cc: 'Michael Nolan (Michael.Nolan@cicaustralia.com.au)'
Subject: RE: Jumping Creek OEH Study Specifications

HI Miles,

Any News? We would like to clarify scope so we can provide a proposal to our clients, CIC.

Cheers

Mark Kelly | Manager - Water & Environment Brown Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd



t. 02 6211 7100 | f 02 6211 7199 e. <u>Mark.Kelly@brownconsulting.com.au</u> Level 6,121 Marcus Clarke Street, Canberra City, ACT, 2601 GPO Box 261, Canberra, ACT, 2601 www.brownconsulting.com.au

The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addresses or someone authorised to receive the addressee's e-mail. If you have received the e-mail in error please notify the sender by return email delete the e-mail from your computer system and destroy any printed copy. There is no warranty that this email is error or virus free. It may be a private communication, and if so, does not represent the view of Brown Consulting (ACT) Pry 180.

Brown Consulting reaches milestone with over 400 people across <u>9 offices</u>

From: Miles Boak [mailto:Miles.Boak@environment.nsw.gov.au] Sent: Wednesday, 15 August 2012 3:38 PM To: Mark Kelly Subject: RE: Jumping Creek OEH Study Specifications

Hi Mark

Thanks for your email.

I have forwarded it on to Marlene Van Der Sterren who is the WSUD project officer in OEH head office.

I will get any comments back to you as soon as possible. But as discussed the EPA people here thought it makes sense to use the Googong approach and base data.

Thanks Miles

Miles Boak Conservation Planning Officer, Office of Environment and Heritage PO Box 733 (11 Farrer Place) Queanbeyan NSW 2620 Tel (02) 6229 7095 Mob 0427 919192

From: Mark Kelly [mailto:Mark.Kelly@brownconsulting.com.au] Sent: Wednesday, 15 August 2012 1:48 PM To: Michael Nolan; Boak Miles; Malcolm Leslie; Tony Connell Cc: Lorena Blacklock; David Leone; 'Martin Brown' Subject: RE: Jumping Creek OEH Study Specifications

Hi Miles,

Thanks for your time this morning, it appears we're generally in agreement, though thought I should follow up with an email to provide more detail. Our proposed methodology is consistent with what was undertaken for Googong and a number of other nearby projects.

As discussed, the requirements in the attached letter generally seem reasonable, though there are several points of clarification required in order to proceed.

Based on the size and nature of the development, which is approximately 100ha compared to the size of the receiving waterway, Queanbeyan River catchment (96,000ha), and the catchment of Jumping Creek (3,500ha) we have recommended an alternative approach to conduct water quality modelling and proposed treatment measures in accordance with current water quality guidelines, which can be undertaken at reasonable time and cost.

The points are clarification are outlined below:

• **Event based modeling to calibrate MUSIC Modeling:** This is an onerous requirement which would require considerable water sampling to confirm baseline conditions, the time and cost is not warranted.

Instead, we propose to adopt best management practices stormwater management and modelling (MUSIC) according to the various recent guidelines (ACTPLA WSUD Guidelines, BMT WBM Draft NSW MUSIC Modelling Guidelines, etc.)which is considered appropriate for the proposed development.

The guidelines specify appropriate MUSIC parameters to adopt based on significant research. Calibration of the model to anticipated runoff values based on annual volumetric runoff coefficients can also be undertaken to determine if the model is under or over estimating flows. The Draft NSW Guidelines specify the use of an effective impervious area based on catchment size.

Calibration of MUSIC to event pollutant concentrations for the site is not considered necessary in order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed stormwater management plan for the development as the adoption of recommended values will provide an appropriate measure of the performance of the proposed stormwater quality improvement devices.

• **Predevelopment Estimates of Pollutant Loads**: Similar to the above, this is an onerous requirement particularly if the model is to be calibrated based on water sampling. This requirement is typically only considered if there is a highly sensitive downstream receiving waterway. Queanbeyan River is a modified waterway (Googong Dam upstream and significant urban development along the main reach) with variable water quality (ActewAGL states on their website that the water quality from the Queanbeyan River catchment can be variable and requires extensive treatment).

We propose to adopt load reduction based objectives are based on % reduction from an urban catchment without WSUD measures, consistent with the guidelines reference above.

• Adoption of concentration based objectives: We propose that load based objectives are more appropriate for the following reasons:

The most recent guidelines throughout Australia specify annual load based reduction objectives;
 Australian Runoff Quality (page 1-6, Sect 1.5) states that ambient water quality trigger levels "do not directly provide water quality targets for stormwater discharge or the selection, sizing and design of catchment management measures";

The default k and C* values adopted in the MUSIC treatment nodes effectively limited the level of treatment achievable. i.e. High outflow concentrations are produced regardless of the inflow concentrations;
 Concentrations of discharges from small sites are highly variable making the selection of pollutant concentrations problematic; and

o ANZECC guidelines state "while algal growth rate (or productivity) is related to the concentration of key nutrients in the water column, the biomass is more controlled by the total mass of these nutrients available to the growing algae". Therefore concentration should not be considered as the primary criterion.

Therefore for the water quality modeling we recommend following ACT Guidelines with consideration of Draft NSW Guidelines and Australian Runoff Quality. This excludes water quality testing.

The approaches outlined above are generally considered current best management practice water quality modeling.

We would appreciate advice as to whether the above approach would be acceptable, in order the Brown Consulting to accurately scope the study requirements.

I am happy to discuss the above with relevant representatives from OEH if required.

Cheers

Mark Kelly | Manager - Water & Environment Brown Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd



t. 02 6211 7100 | f. 02 6211 7199
 e. Mark.Kelly@brownconsulting.com.au
 Level 6,121 Marcus Clarke Street, Canberra City, ACT, 2601
 GPO Box 261, Canberra, ACT, 2601
 www.brownconsulting.com.au

The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee or someone authorised to receive the addressee's e-mail. If you have received the e-mail in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail, delete the e-mail from your computer system and destroy any printed copy. There is no warranty that this email is error or virus free. It may be a private communication, and if so, does not represent the view of Brown Consulting (ACT) Pty Ltd. Brown Consulting reaches milestone with over 400 people across 9 offices

From: Michael Nolan [mailto:Michael.Nolan@cicaustralia.com.au]

Sent: Wednesday, 8 August 2012 11:03 AM To: 'Miles Boak'; Malcolm Leslie; Tony Connell; Mark Kelly Cc: Lorena Blacklock; David Leone; 'Martin Brown' Subject: RE: Jumping Creek OEH Study Specifications

Thanks Miles,

As discussed, please kindly make arrangements for your water specialist to speak directly with Mark Kelly, Manager – Water and Environment at Brown Consulting about the study requirements. Mark has reviewed the specifications and believes they can be addressed in most cases but has some suggestions on how the modelling can be more practically completed and achieve more meaningful results.

Mark's phone number is (02) 6211 7199 and his email address is mark.kelly@brownconsulting.com.au

Subject to the discussions between Mark and your water specialist and any consequential amendments to the study specifications, we intend to engage Brown Consulting to complete the study.

Regards Mike

Michael Nolan Development Manager



CIC Australia Limited PO Box 1000, Civic Square ACT 2608 Level 3, 64 Allara Street, Canberra ACT 2600 Phone: 02 6230 0800 Fax: 02 6230 0811 Mobile: 0404 002 336 Michael.Nolan@cicaustralia.com.au

www.cicaustralia.com.au

CANBERRA • ADELAIDE • DARWIN Electronic Data Transmission Disclaimer

From: Miles Boak [mailto:Miles.Boak@environment.nsw.gov.au] Sent: Thursday, 2 August 2012 10:14 AM

To: Michael Nolan

Subject: RE: Jumping Creek OEH Study Specifications

Hi Michael

Attached is the final signed letter on this issue.

EPA made some points of clarification at the last minute to clarify further the work required but essentially the same.

Again apologies for lateness.

Regards

Miles

Miles Boak Conservation Planning Officer, Office of Environment and Heritage PO Box 733 (11 Farrer Place) Queanbeyan NSW 2620 Tel (02) 6229 7095 Mob 0427 919192

This email is intended for the addressee(s) named and may contain confidential and/or privileged information.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and then delete it immediately.

Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender except where the sender expressly and with authority states them to be the views of the Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW Department of Premier and Cabinet.

PLEASE CONSIDER THE ENVIRONMENT BEFORE PRINTING THIS EMAIL

This email is intended for the addressee(s) named and may contain confidential and/or privileged information.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and then delete it immediately.

Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender except where the sender expressly and with authority states them to be the views of the Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW Department of Premier and Cabinet.

PLEASE CONSIDER THE ENVIRONMENT BEFORE PRINTING THIS EMAIL

ATTACHMENT 2



Our reference: Contact: FIL07/16360 Miles Boak 6229 7095

Mr Michael Nolan Project Manager Canberra Investment Corporation PO Box 1000 Civic Square ACT 2608

Dear Mr Nolan,

Re: Jumping Creek Rezoning Queanbeyan – Water Quality Assessment

The Office of Environmental and Heritage (OEH) has been requested to provide advice on the additional assessment required to justify the rezoning of the deferred area of Jumping Creek residential development from Queanbeyan draft LEP 2011. This was specifically in relation to water quality assessment. It is noted that Queanbeyan City Council (QCC) resolved to defer the two development nodes in south-west section of the Jumping Creek site as the land requires further consideration in regard to urban capability.

In the past OEH has objected to rezoning of this section of the site. The area is constrained in relation to the proximity to the river, the potential for rapid export of material, in solution or suspension, during times of high flow that would make the area a high risk to input of sediment and nutrients that could result in an adverse impact on water quality of Jumping Creek and the Queanbeyan River.

It was agreed at the on-site meeting that the applicant should have opportunity to demonstrate that the above matters can be adequately addressed through best practice planning combined with engineering and/or Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) solutions. The OEH therefore consider it appropriate that the proponent undertake detailed assessment including water quality modelling to estimate potential water quality impacts of the proposed development and to assess the performance of the proposed stormwater treatment systems in the specific circumstances of the Jumping Creek site. Detailed advice in this regard is contained in **Attachment 1**.

This is considered a consistent approach to meet the strategic guidelines applying to the site from the s117 Directions Sydney-Canberra Corridor Strategy Page 48 *that new urban release areas should meet storm water management targets that support the environmental values of the catchment.*

If you would like further information or clarification regarding the issues raised in this submission, please contact Miles Boak on (02) 62297095.

28/12

Yours sincerely

DR SANDRA JONES A/Manager Landscape and Aboriginal Heritage Protection (South) Conservation and Regulation Division

> PO Box 733 Queanbeyan NSW 2620 11 Farrer Place Queanbeyan NSW Tel: (02) 6229 7002 Fax: (02) 6229 7006

ATTACHMENT 1 – WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT JUMPING CREEK

Water Quality

The applicant should demonstrate the water quality outcomes for the proposal through provision of details for predicting and assessing potential impacts to receiving waters, including, but not limited to:

- the ambient Water Quality Objectives and environmental values of receiving waters. These refer to the community's agreed environmental values and human uses endorsed by the NSW Government as goals for ambient waters (<u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ieo/index.htm</u>).
- the indicators and associated trigger values or criteria for the identified environmental values. This information should be sourced from the ANZECC (2000) Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality
 (http://www.mincos.gov.au/publications/australian_opd_powr_zeoland_guidelines_for_fresh_and_g

(<u>http://www.mincos.gov.au/publications/australian and new zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water guality</u>).

- any locally specific objectives, criteria or targets which have been endorsed by the NSW Government.
- the nature and degree of impact that any discharges will have on the receiving environment.
- the significance of any identified impacts including consideration of the relevant ambient water quality outcomes. Demonstrate how the development will be designed and operated to:
 - protect the Water Quality Objectives for receiving waters where they are currently being achieved; and
 - contribute towards achievement of the Water Quality Objectives over time where they are not currently being achieved.
- the management of discharges with potential for water quality impacts
- drainage works and associated infrastructure; land-forming and excavations; working capacity of structures; and water resource requirements of the proposal
- outline site layout, demonstrating efforts to avoid proximity to water resources (especially for activities with significant potential impacts e.g. sediment ponds) and showing potential areas of modification of contours, drainage etc.
- details of any proposed sediment basins including sizing, design and management consistent with *Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction* (NSW Landcom, 2004).

Stormwater

A comparison of water quality at the boundary of the site under current conditions and under a fully realised residential development scenario that includes planned stormwater infrastructure. In addition, a detailed analysis of the post-development flows is to be conducted to meet predevelopment discharges to prevent erosion of the steep slopes.

Detailed modelling should be carried out for the site that addresses development of the steep, highly erodible land by considering both the quantity and quality discharges from the proposed development. A water quality model (such as MUSIC) should be used with suitable parameters for total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) and a water quantity model set up with suitable parameters for velocity and gradient analysis (erosion).

To develop the water quality detailed model (eg. MUSIC), it will be necessary to:

- Conduct (rain) event based monitoring and baseflow monitoring to gather data on flows and event mean concentrations for various intensities of runoff events
- Use the monitoring data to calibrate and validate the model rather than using model defaults for the pre-development conditions. Note that the existing published data will be too coarse for the scale of assessment required at this site.
- Provide a measure of model performance and uncertainty
- Adequately address pollutant loads as detailed below:
 - 1. An accurate representation of the existing (i.e. natural) pollutant loads, including clear statements on the data source for pollutant concentrations adopted in the modelling
(these should be stated for both pre- and post development) and how this compares to published literature. Data could be expressed in estimates of unit loads (kg/ha/year) and in concentrations (mg/L) for TSS, TP and TN respectively. There are figures on the range of what would be expected in vegetated catchments with a mean annual rainfall of approximately 700-800 mm/yr and 'typical' values for such a scenario.

- 2. Accurate pre-development estimates of pollutant loads and run-off volumes calibrated to the measured data on site. The pre-development load estimates will need to be substantiated for TSS, TP and TN. The final calibrated parameters should be compared and contrasted against:
 - the typical values listed in Fletcher *et al* (2004) (<u>http://www.catchment.crc.org.au/pdfs/technical200408.pdf</u>)
 - default standard deviations in MUSIC (i.e. Note also Figures 2.15 to 2.20 in this
 reference that allow an approximate estimate of loads for different combinations of
 land use, % imperviousness and mean annual rainfall, which can be used to assess
 likely validity of the estimates);
 - Duncan (1999) Urban Stormwater Quality Statistical Overview.
- 3. Assessment of the 'ultimate condition' (i.e. developed) loads using the above references and other relevant published literature should be achievable with adequate rainfall data available to run MUSIC for the Queanbeyan area.
- 4. Justification of the time step utilised in the modelling of the pre and post development.
- 5. The water quality modelling of the proposed designs for stormwater treatment can be conducted in MUSIC or equivalent water quality modelling programs; however a detailed hydraulic assessment to prevent erosion of the hill slopes and proposed stormwater treatment measures will need to be conducted using a hydraulic modelling program.
- The stormwater treatment measures are recommended to be designed to meet the reduction requirements in the Landcom (2004) WSUD manuals.
- 7. The design of the stormwater management systems should also consider the impact of the flood prone areas on their discharges and backflow effects.

Report

The resultant report should be prepared by suitably qualified expert consultants and provided with any planning proposal for the Jumping Creek site. As discussed at the site meeting indications are that it will be difficult to design a WSUD system to reduce the export of pollutants to meet the predevelopment levels on site. The assessment must therefore include information on the water sensitive urban design and urban stormwater quality and quantity management (including use of the MUSIC model) and water resource management, which address the above and whose scope is specific to the lands subject to the current proposal and the Water Quality Objectives of the potential receiving waters.

Guidance Material

Ambient Water Quality Goals

DECCW considers that the goals for ambient water quality are relevant environmental goals to the project. The central reference document for managing ambient water quality is the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2000 (ANZECC Guidelines) and Water Quality Objectives framework (http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/ieo/). The ANZECC Guidelines provide instructions for translating statements about desired environmental values and human uses into more practical management and numerical criteria.

Water quality

- National Water Quality Management Strategy: Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC 2000)
- NWQMS Australian Guidelines for Water Quality Monitoring and Reporting (ANZECC 2000)
- Healthy Rivers Commission Report into Coastal Lakes and Statement of Joint Intent

- NSW Government Water Quality and River Flow Environmental Objectives for the relevant targets within the State Water Management Outcomes Plan
- NSW Guidelines for Urban & Residential Use of Reclaimed Water (NSW Water Recycling Coordination Committee, 1993).

Wastewater

- National Water Quality Management Strategy: Guidelines for Sewerage Systems Effluent Management (ARMCANZ/ANZECC 1997)
- National Water Quality Management Strategy: Guidelines for Sewerage Systems Use of Reclaimed Water (ARMCANZ/ANZECC 2000)
- Environmental Guidelines for the Utilisation of Treated Effluent by Irrigation (NSW DEC 2004)
- Environment and Health Protection Guidelines: 'Onsite Sewage Management for Single Households', February 1998 (Silver Book).

Stormwater

- Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction (NSW Landcom, 2004)
- Landcom (2009) Water Sensitive Urban Design
- Melbourne Water (2004) WSUD Engineering Procedures
- John Argue (2007) Basic Procedures for 'Source Control' of stormwater
- Wong (2004) Australian Runoff Quality
- Pilgrim (1987) Australian Rainfall and Runoff



	LEGE Zone B1 B2 B3 B4 B5 E1 E2 E3 E4 IN1 IN2 R1 R2 R3 R4 R5 RE1 R5 R2 R1 R5 R5 R4 R5 R5 R4 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R2 R3 R4 R5 R5 R1 R2 R3 R4 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R1 R2 R3 R4 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R3 R4 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R1 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5 R5
	Data
ing Creek	15.10.201
PLAN OVERLAY WITH 2012	Drawing Nu

ND

Neighbourhood Centre Local Centre Commercial Core Mixed Use Business Development National Parks and Nature Reserves Environmental Conservation Environmental Management Environmental Living General Industrial Light Industrial General Residential Low Density Residential Medium Density Residential High Density Residential Large Lot Residential Public Recreation Private Recreation Rural Landscape Special Activities Infrastructure Natural Waterways Deferred Matter

	Date	Scale	Size	
Creek	15.10.2014	1:2500	AI	
OVERLAY WITH 2012	Drawing Number		Revisio	'n
	14-1077 LA	0	A	
				-

APPENDIX B INTENSITY – FREQUENCY – RAINFALL (IFD) DATA

Intensity-Frequency-Duration Table

Location: 35.375S 149.250E NEAR.. Jumping Creek Issued: 10/8/2015

Average Recurrence Interval							
Duration	1 YEAR	2 YEARS	5 YEARS	10 YEARS	20 YEARS	50 YEARS	100 YEARS
5Mins	55.6	73.2	98.2	114	136	165	189
6Mins	51.9	68.3	91.4	107	126	154	176
10Mins	42.3	55.5	73.8	85.5	101	122	139
20Mins	30.9	40.3	52.7	60.5	71.0	85.3	96.7
30Mins	25.1	32.6	42.2	48.3	56.3	67.4	76.2
1Hr	16.8	21.7	27.8	31.6	36.7	43.6	49.1
2Hrs	10.7	13.8	17.6	20.0	23.2	27.5	30.9
3Hrs	8.13	10.5	13.4	15.2	17.6	20.9	23.5
6Hrs	5.05	6.52	8.33	9.45	11.0	13.0	14.6
12Hrs	3.16	4.07	5.21	5.90	6.84	8.12	9.12
24Hrs	1.99	2.56	3.26	3.68	4.26	5.03	5.65
48Hrs	1.23	1.59	1.99	2.24	2.58	3.03	3.39
72Hrs	.900	1.16	1.45	1.62	1.86	2.19	2.44

Rainfall intensity in mm/h for various durations and Average Recurrence Interval

(Raw data: 22.16, 4.13, 1.17, 41.78, 7.78, 2.1, skew=0.22, F2=4.28, F50=15.58)

© Australian Government, Bureau of Meteorology

APPENDIX C RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATION

Jumping Creek C15000776

File:	H:\P15\15-000776\stormwater\Rational Method\New folder\[Rational Method Calculation.xlsx]C Outlet
Date:	28.07.14
Job:	C15000776
By:	PM
	Jumping
Locality:	Creek
FD Ref:	IFD_Jumping Creek
FD Source:	BOM IFD

Time of Concentration Calculations

Reference	Equation Type / Method	Area (ha)	Length (m)	Av. Slope (%)	Pipe Dia. (mm)	Velocity (m/s)	Sub-Total (mins)	t _c (min)
AR&R Vol. 1 (1987) Section 5.4.1	NSW Rational Method	3676.00	15200	2.3		2		126
Note: Catchment area from XP-RAFTS model from Sydney (X07008)								

C10 VALUE

	1l ₁₀ (mm/hr)		C10
	31.6	C10 from Figure 5.1 of AR&R Vol.2 = 0.3 Also consistent with Figure 5.1 of AR&R Vol.2	0.40
		Adopted C ₁₀	0.40

Flow Calculations (QUDM 4.03.1)

			tc (min)	126
ARI	Су	^{tc} l _y	A (ha)	Q (m ³ /s)
1	0.276	10.42	3676.00	29.37
2	0.308	13.47	3676.00	42.36
5	0.356	17.18	3676.00	62.45
10	0.400	19.52	3676.00	79.73
20	0.440	22.64	3676.00	101.72
50	0.504	26.84	3676.00	138.13
100	0.536	30.14	3676.00	164.96

APPENDIX D DRAWINGS

JUMPING CREEK DEFERRED AREA CONCEPT PLANS



SEPTEMBER 2015

LOCALITY PLAN SCALE 1:15000 0 100 200 300 400 1:15000 1:7500

DOCUMENT NO	PAGE:OF:
PREPARED:	CHECKED:
DATE:	



DRAWING SCHEDULE

C15776-000+	COVER SHEET & DRAWING SCHEDULE
C15776-001+	STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN EXISTING SCENARIO SHEET 1 OF 2
C15776-002+	STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN EXISTING SCENARIO SHEET 2
C15776-003+	STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN DEVELOPED SCENARIO SHEET 1 OF 2
C15776-004+	STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN DEVELOPED SCENARIO SHEET 2
C15776-005+	STORMWATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DEVICES
C15776-006+	SOIL & WATER CONCEPT MANAGEMENT PLAN
C15776-007+	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 1 OF 2
C15776-008+	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2
C15776-009+	ROAD LONG SECTIONS SHEET 1 OF 3
C15776-010+	ROAD LONG SECTIONS SHEET 2
C15776-011+	ROAD LONG SECTIONS SHEET 3
C15776-012+	ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 1 OF 4
C15776-013+	ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 2
C15776-014+	ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 3
C15776-015+	ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 4
C15776-016+	DRIVEWAYS 001, 002, 003 & 004 LONG SECTIONS SHEET 1 OF 2
C15776-017+	DRIVEWAYS 001, 002, 003 & 004 LONG SECTIONS SHEET 2

JUMPING CREEK









ROJECT No.

CONSULT AUSTRALIA

: H\\P\$\\$5-000776\drawing\C\$600776-001+dwg 23\d-\$ep-2015 2\6PM USER derek clark f*s. X-BLOCK-C\$776 X-D\$SIGN-C\$776 X-A1_15-000716 X-EXCONT 10m-C15776 X-EXCONT-C\$776 X-Boundar

JUMPING CREEK

DEFERRED AREA

CONCEPT PLAN

Endorsed Company 180 9001

LEGEND:



EXISTING CATCHMENT BOUNDARY

QUEANBEYAN RIVER

EXISTING CREEKS

XP-RAFTS CATCHMENTS

NOTES:

Ń

 THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE LATEST VERSION OF CALIBRE CONSULTING REPORT No. C15776



STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN EXISTING SCENARIO SHEET 1 OF 2



H.P.B.V5.000776/0745/0706001776-0014-6wg 23rd-Sep-2015 217PN USER derek clark s. X.B.UCK-C15776 X.DESIGN-C15776 X.A.L15-000776 X.EXCONT 10m-C15776 X.EXCONT-C15776 X

LEGEND:



EXISTING CATCHMENT BOUNDARY

QUEANBEYAN RIVER

EXISTING CREEKS

XP-RAFTS CATCHMENTS

DEFERRED AREA

NOTES:

1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE LATEST VERSION OF CALIBRE CONSULTING REPORT No. C15776



STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN EXISTING SCENARIO SHEET 2

DRAWING NUMBER C15776-002+



LEGEND:



CATCHMENT BOUNDARY QUEANBEYAN RIVER EXISTING CREEKS XP-RAFTS CATCHMENTS

NOTES:

N

 THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE LATEST VERSION OF CALIBRE CONSULTING REPORT No. C15776



STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN DEVELOPED SCENARIO SHEET 1 OF 2

C15776-003+

AWING NUMBE

AMENU.



ROJECT No.

JUMPING CREEK DEFERRED AREA CONCEPT PLAN

Endorsed Company ISO 9001

LEGEND:



CATCHMENT BOUNDARY QUEANBEYAN RIVER EXISTING CREEKS XP-RAFTS CATCHMENTS DEFERRED AREA

NOTES:

1. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE LATEST VERSION OF CALIBRE CONSULTING REPORT №. C15776



©2014

STORMWATER CATCHMENT PLAN DEVELOPED SCENARIO SHEET 2

AWING NUMBE C15776-004+



ROJECT No

ONSULT AUSTRALIA

JUMPING CREEK

DEFERRED AREA

CONCEPT PLAN

Endorsed Company ISO 9001

<u>LEGEND</u>





BIORETENTION FILTER AREA

INDICATIVE INFLOW POINTS

TOP OF BANK

RIPARIAN CORRIDOR INNER (50%) RIPARIAN CORRIDOR OUTER (50%) 100 YEAR FLOOD LEVEL BIORETENTION BASIN (TOP OF BANK)

QUEANBEYAN RIVER

EXISTING CREEKS

FUTURE CULVERT WITH RIP-RAP PROTECTION.

ESTATE BOUNDARY

INDICATIVE BUILDING SITES AND DRIVEWAYS

NOTES:

- 1. STORMWATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DEVICE (SQID) CONCEPTS PREPARED ARE FOR THE CONTROL OF STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM ADJOINING FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AREAS.
- 2. LAYOUT AND DETAILS OF THE END OF LINE STORMWATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DEVICES (SQIDS) ARE CONCEPTUAL ONLY AND PROVIDED AS A GUIDE FOR THE TREATMENT TRAIN AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENT.
- ALL RESIDENTIAL AREAS HAVE BEEN MODELLED ASSUMING MINIMUM 2kL RAINWATER TANK PER LOT/DWELLING WITH AT LEAST 175m² OF ROOF DIRECTED TO IT. EXTERNAL RE-USE ONLY.
- 4. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH LATEST VERSION OF CALIBRE CONSULTING REPORT No. C15776
- 5. DESIGN CONTOURS NOT SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

BASINS						
	А	В				
SQID TYPE	BIORETENTION	BIORETENTION				
EXTENDED DETENTION DEPTH (m)	0.5	0.3				
SURFACE AREA (m ²)	1000	120				
FILTER MEDIA AREA (m ²)	450	50				
FILTER MEDIA DEPTH (m)	0.4	0.4				
CATCHMENT AREA (HA)	4.17	0.40				

	TSS	TP	TN
QCC WATER WATER QUALITY REDUCTION TARGETS	80%	65%	65%
LOAD REDUCTION ACHIEVED	90%	82%	72%



STORMWATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DEVICES

C15776-005+

WING NUMBE



FIRST	DESIGN DR/	WN CHECK	APPROVE	D DATE		v	WAE No.	A3 PLOT SEALE (METRES) A1 PLOT	CLIENT		í —
ISSUE	PM D	c APL	\ <i>₿</i>	23/09/2015	AMENDMENT DETAILS			1 2000 25 0 25 50 75 1 1500	n		i
A A									J		i
E B						l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		AUSTRALIA	i
DC						F	PROJECT No.		PROJECT	JUMPING CREEK	i
E D											i
N E								CONSOLT AUSTRALIA Endorse	3	DEFERRED AREA	1 -
Ś F						i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			លី	CONCEPT PLAN	C (C) 20

Ë

<u>LEGEND</u>



TOP OF BANK

RIPARIAN CORRIDOR INNER (50%) RIPARIAN CORRIDOR OUTER (50%) 100 YEAR FLOOD LEVEL SILT FENCE REMOVE WHEN STREET NOT IN USE AS CONSTRUCTION ACCESS CLEAR WATER DIVERSION DRAIN DIRTY WATER DIVERSION DRAIN QUEANBEYAN RIVER EXISTING CREEKS FUTURE CULVERT WITH RIP-RAP PROTECTION. ESTATE BOUNDARY

TEMPORARY SEDIMENT POND

INDICATIVE BUILDING SITES AND DRIVEWAYS

	SEDIMENT	SEDIMENT
	BASIN A	BASIN B
SEDIMENT BASIN VOLUME (m ³)	905	213
PROVIDED SEDIMENT BASINS VOLUME	1023	240

	>
ca	libre
CON	

SOIL & WATER CONCEPT MANAGEMENT PLAN

C15776-006+



ROJECT No.

	5
	ON TROL-
	×
	5776
	Ę
	ē
	SECI
	ΤYΡ
	×
ž	776
Cla	000
Ę	Ŕ,
- P	۲,
SER	×
>	5771
2PM	Ę
5;4	E.
015	Ë
-2-1	×
I-Se	5771
22nc	Ę
, D	Š.
P.+	Ч
£00-	Š.
£76-	1577
000	Ě
5	NO
- Eui	Ä
Lav	×
P/9	5776
£ £ 00	ξ
-00	LN N
5	ä

FILE

776

Sic 1:3000 1:150 AUSTRALIA JUMPING CREEK CONSULT AUSTRALIA DEFERRED AREA Endorsed Company ISO 9001 ©2014 CONCEPT PLAN



LEGEND









EXISTING CONTOURS (2m INTERVAL) GRADING LIMITS TOP OF BANK RIPARIAN CORRIDOR INNER (50%) RIPARIAN CORRIDOR OUTER (50%) 100 YEAR FLOOD LEVEL RETAINING WALL (typ < 1.8m) QUEANBEYAN RIVER EXISTING CREEKS

FUTURE CULVERT WITH RIP-RAP PROTECTION.

ESTATE BOUNDARY

INDICATIVE BUILDING SITES AND DRIVEWAYS

OLD MINING SITE

ENVIRONMENTAL BUFFER AREA

6	drawing title ROAD LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 1 OF 2
	drawing number C15776-007+



RETAINING WALL WHERE REQUIRED TO REDUCE FILL BATTERS

BRAWING TITLE ROAD LAYOUT PLAN SHEET 2	
drawing number C15776-008+	AMEND.

	START OF CONNECTING ROAD					LP CH88.71 RL 590.667 IP CH 93.596 RL 587.847		FUTURI	e Bridge				IP CH 248.463 RL 611.754	HI LH 252.012 KL 600.079		FUTI WITH I RIP-RAP	JRE CULV JOWNSTR PROTEC	ERT EAM TION	IP CH 364.31 RL 595.684			FUTI WITH I RIP-RAP	URE CULVE DOWNSTRE P PROTECT	RT AM ION	D LH 412.199 KL 589.211		
K VALUE LENGTH GRADE				12.2%		K=3.0 VC=82.85	0		1	5.4%			K= VC=1	5.3		-13.93	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		K=5.1 VC≠40			-6%	P. 1/.0	<u>к</u> К	=7.3 =		-0.5%
HORIZONTAL GEOMETRY			<			R -220	0			->					<	H 80		>			<		R - 140				R 220
DESIGN SURFACE	599.247	596.811	595.327 594.375	592.892 592.011	590.794	590.667 590.707 590.880	592.299	594.242 595.010	598.098	599.764 599.961 601.105	603.468	605.076	605.929	606.079 606.028	605.789 605.371	603.961	601.795 600.980	599.586 599.056 598.458	596.078	594.761	593.543 593.457	592.343	591.143 591.43	589.984	589.486 589.274 589.178 589.178	589.072	588.972
EXISTING SURFACE	598.391	595.484	593.696 592.965	591.734 500 610	587.742	586.813 586.295 586.000	586.978	589.754 590.934	596.569	598.864 599.086 600.455	603.306	605.300	507.303	607.007 608.218	609.597 610.339	606.948	603.778 603.350	601.907 601.289 600.591	598.010 596.010	595.544 595.684	596.909 596.899	595.009	592.202 591 / 77	590.900	590.122 589.552 589.028 589.028	589.355 589.448 590.448	590.633
DIFFERENCE	-0.855	-1.327	-1.631 -1.410	-1.158	- 3.052	-3.854 -4.412 -4.880	-5.321	-4.488 -4.076	-1.529	-0.900 -0.875 -0.650	- 0.162	0.224	1.374	0.928 2.191	3.808	2.988	1.983 2.370	2.321 2.233 2.132	1.486 -0.068	0.783	3.367 3.442	2.666	1.059	0.916	0.636 0.278 -0.150	- 0 0 + 0 + 0 - 0 - 2 + 4 + 0 - 1 - 3 7 6 + 1 - 3 7 6	1 . 6 6 1
CHAINAGES	0.000	20.000	32.184 40.000	52.172 60.000	000.08	88.710 93.596 100.000	120.000	135.021 140.000	160.000	170.796 172.083 180.000	200.000	220.000	240.000	252.612 260.000	270.144 280.000	300.000	320.000 326.129	336.181 340.000 344.310	360.000 364.310	380.000 384.310	400.000 401.434	420.000	440.000	t (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	472.199 480.000 485.280 485.280	492.199 492.199 500.000	520.000
SUPER ELEVATION																											
																	CON	NECTING	5 ROAD	 -							



H



ROAD LONG SECTIONS SHEET 1 OF 3	
drawing number C15776-009+	AMEND.

		START OF SUBDIVISION FORF ROAD		IP CH 759.784 RL 582.533					IP CH 901854 RL 584.743							IP CH 1080.536 RL 598.412						HP CH 1215.5
K VALUE	K=3.8			K=37.2	>			-	K=14.8						<	K=21.9	>				<	
LENGTH	VC= <u>6</u> 0			VC=60	>			<	VC≠90			7.00	,		<	VC=60	>		0.04		-	
GRADE		3.2	%				1.6%	D 240		D (0		<i>t.</i> 6%				><		4	.9%		_	
HORIZONTAL GEOMETRY		<		K 350	>			R -210	→ ←	R -60			< <u>R-4</u>	+0 >	×	40		<u>R -40</u> >				
DESIGN SURFACE	580.161 580.352	580.638 580.756 581.272	581.582 581.892	582.412 582.417	582.834 582.999	583.470 583.470 583.470 583.470	583.781	584.043 584.095	584.585 584.632 585.040 585.345 585.429 585.429	586.376	587.677 588.186 588.273 589.191	590.721	592.251 592.252 593.781	595.114	596.821 596.821 597.313	598.173 598.207 598.213 598.213	599.886 600.040 600.351	601.334	602.317	603.299	603.784	
EXISTING SURFACE	579.193 580.115	580.798 581.037 581.981	582.423 582.978	583.353 583.352	583.045 583.029	583.222 583.222 582.515 582.515	584.244	583.699 583.649	584.764 584.850 585.482 585.717 585.717 585.739	586.684	587.709 588.168 588.284 589.655	591.123	592.136 592.137 593 146	594.976	596.003 596.903 597.786	599.096 599.118 599.122 599.122	600.905 601.006 601.036	601.544 601.446	601.429	604.080	604.850	606.000
DIFFERENCE	-0.969 -0.237	0.160 0.281 0.709	0.841 1.087	0.942	0.210	0.064	0.463	- 0 . 344 - 0 . 447	0.179 0.218 0.442 0.372 0.370	0.309	0.032 -0.018 0.011 0.464	0.401	-0.115 -0.115	- 0 . 4 10 - 0 . 335	-0.114 0.082 0.474	0.923 0.911 0.909	0.965 0.965 0.685	0.211	-0.887	0.781	1.066	1.576
CHAINAGES	680.000 690.990	703.723 703.723 720.000	729.784 740.000	759.784 760.000	780.000 789.784	800.000 800.000 820.000 823.202	840.000	856.854 860.000	880.000 881.483 892.796 900.000 901.854	920.000	940.000 946.854 947.988 960.000	000.086	1000.000 1000.015 1020.015	1037.429 1040.000	1050.536 1060.000 1066.970	1080.000 1080.536 1080.536	1110.536 1113.679 1120.000	1140.000 1146.449	1160.000	1180.000	1189.867	1215.910
SUPER ELEVATION																<u></u>						
													SUBDIV	ISION EDO	E ROAE	<u>DS</u>						
DESIGN DRAWN CHECK APPROVED DATE PM DC APL AB 23109/2015		AMENDME	NT DETAILS									WAE No.	A3 PLOT	10 5 0 10	cale (metres) 20 30 4	0 50 A1 PL	LOT CLIENT HOR		C		Т	
													1:400	2 1 0 2	4 6	⁸ 10 1:200 y	ER	AUSTR	ALIA			
												PROJECT No.		USTRALIA		Er is	Quality dorsed ompany IO 8001 6 4084	JUMPING DEFERREI CONCEP	UREEK D AREA T PLAN			©2014



ROAD LONG SECTIONS SHEET 2	
drawing number C15776-010+	AMEND.

	14.10.495 RL 593.097	802 ROAD
	C T	- 582 DGE
		IP CH 1507.626 RL END OF SUBDIVISION EI
K VALUE	K=12.9	<u>K=1.3</u>
LENGTH	- VC=80	<u>VC=10</u>
GRADE	-4.4% -10.6%	
HORIZONTAL GEOMETRY	R 300 _ R -120 _	
DATUM RL. 570		
DESIGN SURFACE	595.306 594.396 594.3196 594.218 593.217 593.217 593.217 591.116 591.116 581.857 585.130 585.130	583.610 583.332 582.897 582.652 582.539
EXISTING SURFACE	595.311 594.913 594.050 592.974 592.974 591.348 591.348 591.348 588.707 588.357 588.357 588.357 588.357 588.357	585.490 585.216 584.696 584.176 583.624
DIFFERENCE	0.006 0.066 0.168 -0.158 -0.243 -0.215 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.453 -0.150 1.010	1.879 1.884 1.799 1.524 1.085
CHAINAGES	1360.000 1370.495 1380.000 1383.382 1400.000 1410.495 1420.000 1420.495 1420.495 1460.495 1464.737 1460.100	1500.000 1502.626 1507.626 1512.626 1516.413
SUPER ELEVATION		

SUBDIVISION EDGE ROADS



E,

AMENDMENT DETAILS

ROAD LONG SECTIONS SHEET 3	
drawing number C15776-011+	AMEND.







CH 100.000







AMENDMENT DETAILS





CH 300.000



AE No.

ROJECT No.

ONSULT AUSTRALI

CH 250.000

		2.2%	3%	-3%	-2%	1 in -4
DATUM 597				5		
DESIGN		603.546- 603.509-	603.468-	603.509- 603.509-	603.412-	601.109-
EXISTING	5 5 9 9	603.846 603.612	603.306	602.910 602.892	602.327	601.109
OFFSET	ן יסי יסי	-5.000	-3.000 0.000	3.000 3.150	8.000	17.215



DATUM 590	
DESIGN	600.469-
EXISTING	600.469
OFFSET	- 18.695

		1 in a					
			2%	3% -3	3% -	2% <u>1 ir</u>	4
DATUM 594			5		5		
		6	22	÷	22	Ļ.	- 2-
	5		1-1-	2	1.1	7	7 9
DECIGN	1					<u> </u>	æ.
DESIGN	0	F**		r+-		F+-	~
	0	5	55	5	55	5	5
	9	<u>ب</u>	<u>~</u> ~	5	55	2	5
	~ ~ ~	Ł	9 4	9	23	9	2
	<u>ب</u>	14-	∞ ⊷	9	r- 9	80	4
EVICTING		-	66	9	mm	6	9
EXISTING							
		ĕ	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0.	ő	8
	9(9	ഗഗ	5	5	5	5
	8	0	00	0	00	0	9
	4	0	50	0	05	0	æ
	6	0	-0	0	01	0	5
IUFFSEI	· · ·						
	0	5	mm	0	mm	8	-
							-
	-						

- mark



ing/C15000776-012+.dwg_Z2nd-Sep-2015_4:04PM_USER: derek clark .EXCONT-C15776_X-BL0CK-C15776_X-DESIGN-C15776_X-A1_15-000776

DESIGN DRAWN CHECK APPROVED DA PM DC APL B 23/09/

RAAMING TITLE ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 1 OF 4	
drawing number C15776-012+	AMEND.

CH 350.000

CH 400.000



CH 450.000

1/11/2	2	%3	% -:	3%2	%
28-	5	-+-+	÷	-1-1	8 1-
	<u> </u>	5	- C		-4
-1	0	00	2	00	0
5	5	55	5	555	23
œ	6	-~~	5	96	0
0.2		6_9	5	2 0	2
0.		`.` <i>.</i>	Ψ.		
t-	~	22			0
5	5	66	6	66	6
5	5	ŚŚ	2	ഗഗ	2
5	0	00	0	00	0
	0	50	0	0.0	0
	<u> </u>				
~	Ś	mm	0	mm	~
~		1.1			
1					

DATUM 202		10M 571	DATUM 570
DESIGN 265	589.113 589.113 589.113 589.016 589.016 589.648 30	581.319- 581.282- 581.281.282- 581.241-	581:282-582-582-582-582-582-582-582-582-582-
EXISTING	591.592 591.043 591.0448 590.448 590.448 589.853 589.648 589.648 589.648	ISTING 23.4 ° 0000 2.4 ° 0000 2.4 ° 0000 2.4 ° 0000 2.4 ° 0000 2.4 ° 0000	6 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5
OFFSET		FSET 90 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0000 231.55 0FFSET 0FFSET 0FFSET 0FFSET
	CH 500.000	CH 650	0.000
AMENDMENT DETAILS		WAE No. A3 PLOT SCALE	(METRES) A1 PLOT CLIENT
			AUSTRALIA
		PROJECT NO.	PROJECT JUMPING CREEK DEFERRED AREA CONCEPT PLAN
	DESIGN C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	DATION 363 John 101 363 <td>DATION 303 1</td>	DATION 303 1

DATUM 577

DESIGN

EXISTING

OFFSET

		2	<u>%</u> 3	% -3	% -2	% 11	-
DATUM 585			5		5		
	~	-	mm	2	~~~	, j	~
DESIGN	7			-		-	-1
		· · ·	· · · .	<u> </u>	· · · ·	<u> </u>	~
	2	5	5.5	5	55	5	0
	5	58	<u>6</u>	29	55	5	с С
	5	2	m-+	~	22	m	œ
	4	6	-1-	4	20		7
FYISTING	m.					æ.	4
	5	.		0	00	5	σ
	6	6	6.6	6	6.6		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	51		0101		0101		
	0 (00	000	0	0.0	0.0	0
OFFSET		0	10	0	01	0	- ù
		-				-	
	-	-C	<u> </u>	0	mm	80	9

сц	550 000	
сп	220.000	

		2% -3% -3% -2% +
DATUM 585		
DESIGN	595.109-	588.900 588.863 588.863 588.863 588.863 588.863 588.863 588.863 589.082
EXISTING	595.109	591.926 591.526 591.526 590.927 590.328 590.328 590.328
OFFSET	- 17.418	-5.000 -3.150 0.000 3.150 3.150 9.265

DATUM 583

DESIGN

EXISTING





-3% -3%

586.716-

588.

586.757 586.757

177

588. 588.

794-757-757-

586. 586. 586.

588 588 588

.878 .681 .670 .452

.564-

589.

564

589

539

-2%

111

586.660-587.248-

587.617 587.248









DATUM 585	
DESIGN	
EXISTING	
OFFSET	



CH 750.000

893+ 834+ 797+ 797+ 797+ 638+

580. 580.

580.893 580.892 580.885 580.885 580.798 580.798

 $\overset{}{,}\overset{}{$

15%

-2% 1 in 4

3.000 580.680 580.679 3.150 580.674 580.679

CH 700.000

. 582-

8.000 580.761 580. 8.734 580.766 580.

1 in

RRAWING TITLE ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 2	
drawing number C15776-013+	AMEND.

CH 800.000

	2%	× _:	3% -2%	
				<u>1 in -4</u>
.491-	. 394- . 394-	. 158-	. 200- . 200- . 163-	. 5 18-
583	2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	583	895 895 895 895 895 895 895 895 895 895	581
584.521	583.829 583.800	583.222	582.644 582.616 582.295	581.518
- 8.000	-3.150 -3.000	0.000	3.000 3.150 5.000	11.580

CH 850.000

2% 3%

111 4				
	2%	1%	2%	-2%
		<u> </u>	- 370	-270
		L		L I
	· · · · ·	101	9	~ _
9	-	- 1-4	m 🖬	-rttt
2	-		6 B	66
	-	t . t	m m	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	α.		αο α	00 00
Ś	L.	ъ со –	ഗഗ	n n n
-	α	om -	· +	2 2
9	P*	- 9	9 9	0 t-c
2	P*	- [-+-		~ ~ ~
				t-t m
æ	a		~ ~	co co
Ś	L.	<u>чо</u>	ഗ്	പവ
0	0	0	0 0	.00
0	ت ا	10	0 0	0 0
0		-0	0. 0	-0
~	~	n m	0 0	in lo
1				

#### CH 900.000

	2%	3%	-3% -2%	1 in ,
				-4
P*	66	പ്	ى ف ف	m` ל
r+-	~~~~	t-	~ ~ ~	+ ~~
9	ഗഗ			n 6
	0101		01010	
		ŝ		
<u> </u>	∞ < \	P*	96.0	~ m
2	00	-	r+ LA ~	+ co +
5	00	P++-	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
				2 2 1
9				
0.	0.01		0.0.0	
0	00	0	000	> -+ - <
0	50	0	000	- o (
0	-0	0	0-0	> - +
				2 2 4
æ.		0		~~~

#### CH 950.000

	<u>1 in</u>	4	2%	_	3	%	-3%		-2%	1 in	- /
											1
	<u> </u>	6		7	2	-9	,	~	<u>~</u>		-7
-	+	5		9	9	~		é	و	m	-
· ·	<b>`</b>	<b>L+</b>		9	9	4		4	4	40	2
								•	•		
9		~		~	~~~	~		~ ~	×	~ ~	×
									2		2
							·	0.11		010	
-	n .	-4		0	0	~		0	$\sim$	~	2
-	÷	2		ŝ	4	5			$\sim$	$\sim$	-
0	<b>n</b>	-		P*-	- F+	-1		$\sim$	$\mathbf{r}$	$\sim$	2
								•	•		
c	n .	6		œ	8	œ	•	œ (	æ	ŝ	×
°	2	~		~	~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		~	~	~ ~	-
	n	5			5			5	~	L' L'	<u>л</u>
<	>	0		0	0	C		0	0	0	*-
9	•	0		Ь	0	0		0	-	0.	-
0	n	0		-	0	0	•	0	_	0	5
								-			
<	>	æ		$\sim$	ŝ	0	•	m	$\mathbf{r}$	Ч	<b>^</b>
*	_										

×2 ²								 	25	5		<u> </u>	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		99	9		0.0	9	999			
R: derek clar - A1_15-0007						OFFSET			-11.047	-8.000	- 3.150	-3.000	3.000 3.150 5.000	OFFSET	-13.361	-8.000		- 2 - 150 - 8 - 000	0.000	3.150 3.150 5.127		0	FFSET
Irawing/C15000776-012+4wg 22nd-Sep-2015 4:04PM USEF 6 X-EXCONT-C15776 X-BL0CK-C15776 X-DESIGN-C15776 X										C	TH 1000	).000				Cŀ	H 1150.	000			-		
71574 1577	RST DESIG	N DRAWN	CHECK	APPROVED	DATE 22/00/2016	AMENDMENT DETAI	AILS								WAE No.		A3 PLOT		SCAL	E (METRES)	A1 PLOT	CLIENT	
1000-LA	A	-	1	/14/	20100/2010				-														
_115- DC015-	В																						AUSTRALIA
×-×	C								-						PROJECT No.						ž	PROJECT	JUMPING CREEK
in si E N	E								-							c	ONSULT AUST	TRALIA			Guelty		DEFERRED AREA
분듯 통	F																				Company ISO 800 Lio 4084		CONCEPT PLAN

CH 1050.000

			3	%	3% -2%
DATUM 588			5		5
	3 4 5	584	4 8 7 4 8 7	251-	293- 293- 256-
DESIGN	593.	592.	592. 592.	592.	592. 592. 592.
EXISTING	3.345	3.011	2.481 2.464	2.136	1.753 1.732 1.471
	5 9	5 9	59	59	0.0
OFFSET	- 11.047	-8.000	-3.150 -3.000	0.000	3.000 3.150 5.000



		1 in 4	2%		-3%	-2%
DATUM 594						
DESIGN	603.175-		-	599.222- 599.222-	598.986-	599.028 599.028 598.991 597.837
EXISTING	603.175		601.002	599.813 599.777	599.042	598.306 598.270 597.859 597.837
OFFSET	-23.425	c c c	000.8-	-3.150	0.000	3.000 3.150 5.000

		in 4	_2%3%		3% -2%
DATUM 591			5		5
DESIGN	597.103-	596.409-	596.312- 596.312-	596.076-	596.117- 596.117- 596.080-
EXISTING	597.103	596.827	596.327 596.310	595.955	595.563 595.544 595.278
OFFSET	-10.777	-8.000	-3.150	0.000	3.000 3.150 5.000



			3%	-35	~ -2%
DATUM 591					
DATOIT 571				_	
	ف	<u>``</u>	~~~~	m	-1 + + +
	-	1		4	80 C - 1
DESIGN	· .	<u>.</u>	0.0.		
	æ	9	ഗഗ	5	ഗഗഗ
	6	6	66	6	666
	<u>د</u>	<u> </u>	ഗഗ	5 S	ഗഗഗ
	9	m	t+	9	m – –
	<del>-</del>	5	<u>م</u> م	m	0 8 -
EVICTING	-	6	00	9	01-0
	6	96	66	6	666
	5	5	50	5	
	-t-		00	0	
	Ū.	0	50	0	000
055657	<del>.</del>		-0	0	0 - 0
UFFSEI	· · · · ·				
	9	~		0	~~ <u>~</u>
	5	'			

1 in 4

СН	1100.000
----	----------





DATUM 589	
DESIGN	
EXISTING	
OFFSET	

©2014

ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 3 AWING NUMBER C15776-014+

AMEND.

CH 1300.000

#### CH 1350.000

CH 1400.000

_	2%	3%	-	-3%	-2%	- 1 in		
							4	
5		5			5			
1-0	-	ιΨ	-	-6-	6	-7	2-	
~ <u>~</u>	<u>ں</u>	50	-	<u>ں</u>	ŝ	7	2	
-4-0	7		~	~	1010	~		
	~	m	m	~	, m	'n	~	
55		5	5		5	5	5	
55	<u>ں</u>	л Сл	Ś	<u>ں</u>	i n i	~	Ś	
6 1	7	- 9	4	6	0	Ω.	2	
mo	-7	t m	F+	0	• O 1		$\sim$	
44	-		9	œ	· ~ ·	9	4	
 	~	· ·	~	~			~	
55		5	5		5	2	5	
50	<u>ں</u>	ŝ	5	<u>ں</u>	i n i	<u>م</u>	Ś	
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
rt- 0	<u>ں</u>	0	0	C	ŝ	>	5	
40	-	- 0	0	0	·- ·	-	-	
 	~	, M	0	~		^	~	

		3%	_3%
DATUM 580	5		5
DESIGN	583 568- 568- 568-	583.332-	583.374- 583.374-
EXISTING	585.256 585.256	585.216	585.118 585.118
OFFSET	- 3. 150 - 000	0.000	3.000 3.150

CH 1502.626



s_4

CH 1500.000

	<u>1 in 4</u> 2%		3% -2% 1 in -4
DATUM 584			
DESIGN	589.501- 589.242	589.145- 589.145- 588.910-	588.951- 588.951- 588.914- 588.147- 588.147-
EXISTING	589.501 589.409	588.982 588.969 588.717	588.465 588.465 588.349 588.349 588.147
OFFSET	- 9.036 - 8.000	-3.150 -3.000 0.000	3.000 3.150 5.000 8.070

OFFS	FSET	ਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਦੇ ਸੰਸ CH 1450.000				
ST         DESION         DRAVN         OFECK         APPROVED         DATE         AMENDMENT DETAILS           IA         IA	WAE NG. 	A3 PLOT	SCALE (METRES)	A1 PLOT CLIENT	calibre	oraving title ROAD CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 4 Inganing number

Instance Alexa Carrier Large Carr	
Image: Test and and provide pro	
	1:100 VER AUSTRALIA
	PROJECT JUMPING CREEK

	CONNECTING ROAD BOUNDA!			<u>e</u>	
< VALUE	K=0.7		-	K=2.6	
ENGTH	_VC=10_		<	VC=20	
JRADE	3%	16.7%		8.8%	
HORIZONTAL GEOMETRY	<u>_R 1</u>	0 <u> </u>	>	<del>_</del> R -15	->
DATUM RL. 600					$\square$
DESIGN SURFACE	606.058 606.073 606.394 606.990 607.056	608.438 608.527 608.639	611.973 612.507 612.799	614.270 614.274 614.864 614.364	615.930 616.136
EXISTING SURFACE	608.988 609.080 609.839 609.895 609.894	610.565 610.613 610.675	613.505 613.893 614.040	614.572 614.573 614.865 615.384	616.041 616.136
DIFFERENCE	2.931 3.007 3.445 2.905 2.838	2.128 2.085 2.036	1.533 1.386 1.241	0.302 0.299 0.002 0.034	0.111
CHAINAGES	0.000 0.504 5.504 10.100 10.504	18.791 19.329 20.000	40.000 43.209 44.958	54.958 54.958 60.000 64.958	71.514 73.846

DRIVEWAY 002

	CONNECTING ROAD BOUNDARY	IP CH 50.414 RL 596.827
K VALUE	<u><k=0.7< u=""></k=0.7<></u>	K=1.6
LENGTH	<u></u>	< VC=20 >
GRADE	3%16.7%	
HORIZONTAL GEOMETRY	<u> </u>	R -5
DATUM RL. 583		
DESIGN SURFACE	9.187- 9.525- 9.525- 0.187- 0.894- 1.757- 1.757- 3.350- 3.350-	5.091- 5.160- 5.965- 6.521- 6.521-
	5 9 5 9 5 9 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	572 681 411 146 146 339	003 021 068 132 218 218
EXISTING SURFALE	591. 591. 592. 594. 595. 596. 596.	597. 597. 597. 597.
DIFFERENCE	2.386 2.477 2.962 3.224 3.333 3.338 3.388 3.388 3.084 2.990	1.912 1.861 1.668 1.167 0.697
CHAINAGES	0.000 0.580 5.580 10.580 14.822 20.000 29.553	40.000 40.414 41.893 45.770 50.414
	1	









DRIVEWAY 003



DRIVEWAY 004

1776/dr 00776	FIRST	DESIGN PM	DRAWN	i check APL	DATE 23/09/2015	AMENDMENT DETAILS	WAE No.	0 SCALE (METRES) 20 A1 PLOT 1.500 HOR	CLIENT		
\15-000 A1_15-0	A A M B							1 2 3 4 5 1:100 VER		AUSTRALIA	
H:\P15 s: X-J							PROJECT NO.		PROJECT	JUMPING CREEK	
FILE: Xref'	N E T F							Camping Endorsed Company 190 8001 Lb 4044		CONCEPT PLAN	©20



## APPENDIX E XP-RAFTS MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS – EXISTING

Catchment Name	Area	Percentage Impervious	Vectored Slope
C2Down	15.3	5%	7.05
C2Up	21.44	5%	7.05
C3	3.17	5%	15.5
C4	1.63	5%	15.88
C5	0.384	5%	14.93
C6	0.21	5%	13.4
C1	3.89	5%	9.3
C7	532.6	5%	5.65
C8	794.6	5%	5.64
C9	362.6	5%	3.5
C10	144.8	5%	1.6
C11	29.3	5%	17
C12	583.2	5%	3.6
C13	298.4	5%	5.95
C14	363.7	5%	3.39
C15	262.8	5%	5.38
C16	119.8	5%	10.8
C17	30.78	5%	8.8
C18	39.5	5%	7.03
C19	21.2	5%	8.83
C_Out	9.87	5%	1.5

## APPENDIX F XP-RAFTS MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS – DEVELOPED

Catchment Name	Area	Percentage Impervious	Vectored Slope
D_C2Up	21.44	5%	7.05
D_C1	4.16	45%	9.3
D_C2Down	14.06	5%	7.05
D_C3	3.17	40%	15.5
D_C4	1.63	40%	15.88
D_C5	0.384	40%	14.93
D_C6	0.21	40%	13.4
D_C7	0.3	95%	12.62
D_C7.2	532.6	5%	5.65
D_C8	0.7	95%	6
D_C8.2	794.6	5%	5.64
D_C9	0.79	80%	1
D_C9.2	362.6	5%	3.5
D_C10	144.8	5%	1.6
D_C11	28.3	5%	17
D_C12	583.2	5%	3.6
D_C13	298.4	5%	5.95
D_C14	363.7	5%	3.39
D_C15	262.8	5%	5.38
D_C16	119.8	5%	10.8
D_C17	30.78	5%	8.8
D_C18	39.5	5%	7.03
D_C19	21.2	5%	8.83
D_Out	9.87	5%	1.5

# APPENDIX G LANDDATA RIVER BANK REPORT





Our Reference: 15066

14 May 2015

Michael Nolan **Development Manager CIC Australia Pty Ltd** PO Box 1000 **CIVIC SQUARE** ACT 2608

Attention Mr Michael Nolan

Dear Michael,

#### **RE: JUMPING CREEK DEVELOPMENT** DEFINITION OF THE HIGHEST BANK OF THE QUEANBEYAN RIVER

#### Introduction

In support of the rezoning of the deferred matter at the proposed Jumping Creek Development, LANDdata Surveys has undertaken a survey to define the highest bank on the eastern side of Queanbeyan River in the south-western area of the proposed Jumping Creek development. This report provides an outline of the research undertaken in defining the highest bank and our spatial interpretation to the location of this natural monument.



Aerial photo showing area of interest located in the southwestern corner of the proposed Jumping Creek development

#### **Background Information**

The proposed Jumping Creek development is located on Lot 5 in DP1199045 in the Local Government Area of Queanbeyan and in close proximity to the Queanbeyan River. The close proximity of the proposed development to the Queanbeyan River means that the impact of the development is subject to assessment by the NSW Office of Water. Any controlled activities carried out on waterfront land are regulated by the *Water Management Act 2000* which defines "waterfront land" as "the bed of any river, together with any land lying between the bed of the river and a line drawn parallel to, and the prescribed distance inland of, <u>the highest bank of the river</u>."

The transition zone between the terrestrial environment and the watercourse is known as the riparian corridor which is described in the NSW Office of Water document "Guidelines for riparian corridors on waterfront land" as consisting of:

- The channel which comprises the bed and banks of the watercourse (to the highest bank) and
- The vegetated riparian zone adjoining the channel

vegetated riparian zone Riparian corridor

The above description is then further clarified by the following diagram:

The location of the vegetated riparian zone is critical in considering any proposed development. To identify the extent of the vegetated riparian zone adjoining the Queanbeyan River it is first necessary to determine the location of the highest bank of the watercourse.

#### **Queanbeyan River Bank Research and Definition**

The term "highest bank of the watercourse" is difficult to establish physically on the ground due to the varied terrain which exists along the Queanbeyan River and the inherent difficulties found in defining a fixed line within the constantly changing natural environment.

Prior to any field survey, extensive research was undertaken into the flow levels of the Queanbeyan River and the history of previous surveys in the area.

- The data from the NSW Office of Water from the 01/01/2014 to the date of survey (attached in Appendix C) shows that the water level of Queanbeyan River at the time of survey approximately represented an average level of flow. The maximum level of the river in the past 18 months was 3 metres higher than the water level at the time of survey. Therefore, we ensured that the surveyed position of the highest bank of the watercourse was at least more than 3m above the water level at the time of survey.
- It is considered convention for old cadastral surveys to adopt the "top of bank" or "highest bank of the watercourse" as the cadastral boundary. Various publications and presentations state that "old survey measurements were often restricted to the high bank of a stream" (Kernebone, 1986) and "distances to banks stated in old surveys are seldom reliable. In many case the measurements were made to the high bank of the stream" (Hallman, 1994) and "the tendency in old surveys to measure to what was regarded as the limit of usable land, normally the top of the high bank" (B.O'Malley, 1983). Using the historical convention that old surveys would normally adopt the top of bank as the cadastral boundary



we can consider that the previously defined cadastral boundary from a survey in 1984 could be a reliable indicator of the "highest bank of the watercourse" (it is important to note that this is not the correct definition of the legal boundary, but it was historical convention to adopt the highest bank for practical reasons). The cadastral survey boundary from 1984 is shown on the plan attached in *Appendix A* and good agreement is found between the highest bank as surveyed for the purposes of this report and the earlier cadastral boundary survey.

#### **Field Survey**

The field survey to physically identify the highest bank of the watercourse on the eastern side of the Queanbeyan River was performed on the 13/05/2015. *Appendix B* contains a number of photos which were taken on site and we have included an overlay with each photo which clarifies our interpretation of the highest bank. As part of the field survey the following factors, as well as the research described on the previous page, were taken into account in defining the highest bank of the watercourse:

- The extent of obvious erosion due to regular water flow.
- The limit of vegetation indicating the extent of regular water flow.
- The location of fauna habitat evidence in relation to regular water flow.

In the northern part of the subject area the terrain is fairly open with scattered vegetation and gradually sloping banks, but in the southern part of the subject area the terrain is covered with dense vegetation with very high and steep banks. The southern part of this area is also much rockier and difficult to access than the northern part of the site.

The field survey was conducted using GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) technology which enabled the accuracy of the survey to be within +/-20mm horizontally at a 95% confidence level.

#### Conclusion

The surveyed boundary from 13/05/2015 is shown in yellow on the plan attached in *Appendix A* and is an accurate representation of our interpretation of the highest bank of the Queanbeyan River. Anything beyond the line defined as the highest bank we consider to be part of the vegetated riparian zone and outside the Queanbeyan River watercourse. The survey described in this report incorporated historical survey data, information regarding the past flow levels of the Queanbeyan River and aspects of the natural environment to provide a reliable estimation of the highest bank of the Queanbeyan River.

If any additional information is required please contact the writer.

Yours Faithfully LANDdata Surveys Pty Ltd

Matthew Stevenson Registered Surveyor

#### **References:**

B.O'Malley, N.Ryan. (1983). Survey Investigation Paper Presented to 59th Annual Conference of NSW Staff Surveyors. Sydney.

Hallman. (1994). Legal Aspects of Boundary Surveying as apply in New South Wales. NSW: ISNSW.

Kernebone, R. (1986). North Bank, Right Bank, Left Bank, South Bank. Buronga: Western Lands Commission.

Water, N. O. (2012). Guidelines for riparian corridors on waterfront land. Department of Primary Industries.

APPENDIX A: PLAN OF SURVEY SHOWING TOP OF HIGHEST BANK AND OTHER INFORMATION

# 5 DP 1199045 SOUTHWESTERN CORNER OF PROPOSED JUMPING CREEK

#### 6 DP872684 LONERGAN DRIVE DISCLAIMER: 5 This plan of survey and its associated digital QUEANBEYAN data was prepared under instruction to meet DP 1199045 specification as agreed. This information should not be used or relied upon by any other party. For the purpose of this plan, the boundary RIVER information shown is from DP1199045 & DP711905. Boundaries have not been remarked. For future development, further survey and marking of boundaries may be necessary. The definition of the highest bank of Queanbeyan River has been AREA OF INTEREST SITE BOUNDARY Plan to be read in conjunction with the digital data. G NOTES: LOCATION OF AERIAL PHOTO IS INDICATIVE ONLY -DENOTES CADASTRAL BOUNDARY AS DEFINED BY DP711905 DENOTES HIGHEST BANK OF WATERCOURSE BY SURVEY ON 13/05/2015 - DENOTES I IN 100 YEAR FLOOR LEVEL AT RL OF 580m LOCALITY DIAGRAM DENOTES HIGHEST BANK OF WATERCOURSE BY SURVEY ON 13/05/2015 OFFSET 40m CLIENT LANDdata QUEANBEYAN RIVER BANK SURVEY 11-13 Lawry Place MACQUARIE, ACT 2614 **SURVEYS** JUMPING CREEK DEVELOPMENT Sic LOT 5 DP1199045 Datum A.H.D T 02 6202 7600 F 02 6202 7699 QUEANBEYAN Surveyed M.STEVENSON 13/05/2015 BM SSM110159 M.STEVENSON 15/05/2015 Drawn Proj No. 15066 Rev Checked 15/05/2015 AUSTRALIA Sheet No. 1 of 115066_CREEK_BOUNDARY_OFFSET.DWG Approved RL 583.665 1:750 REVISION DATE ZONE Surveyor, Registered under the Surveying and Spatlal Information Act 2002 No.83. C LANDdata SURVEYS Pty Limited ABN 97 118 699 728 A2 0 5 10 15 20 40 30 METRES

YAN RIVER

QUEANBEY

#### APPENDIX B: PHOTOS FROM FIELD SURVEY




Photo taken in location #1 with red line indicating definition adopted of highest bank





Photo taken in location #2 with red line indicating definition adopted of highest bank



Photo taken in location #3 with red line indicating definition adopted of highest bank



Photo taken in location #4 with red line indicating definition adopted of highest bank



Photo taken in location #5 with red line indicating definition adopted of highest bank

APPENDIX C: NSW OFFICE OF WATER STREAM WATER LEVEL GRAPH



## APPENDIX H SEDIMENT BASIN VOLUME CALCULATIONS

## C15776 PJM 12/08/2015

## Calibre Consulting

## Jumping Creek SWVMP

Sediment Basin Sizing to the NSW Blue Book 85th percentile 5 day rainfall event

Γ				Settling Zone Volume Storage Zone Volume													Total Storage								
		A (Ha)	Length	dH	Slope % const	ant	R%ile, day (mm)	A (Ha)	Cv		v	0.5*V	Or	Constant	A (Ha)	R	к	LS	5	Р	С	RUSLE	v	V use	Required (m^3)
Deferred Area	RUSLE (85%ile)	4.17	300		8.66	10	25.8	3 4	.17	0.56	602.4816	301.2408	3	0.17	4.17		1500	0.04	5.381	1.3		1 419.718	228.8755	301.2408	903.7224
Road (west)	RUSLE (85%ile)	0.98	300		6	10	25.8	3 C	.98	0.56	141.5904	70.7952	2	0.17	0.98		1500	0.04	3.25	1.3		1 253.5	32.487	70.7952	212.3856